arXiv:1210.6862v1 [math.AG] 25 Oct 2012

GLOBAL MIRROR SYMMETRY FOR INVERTIBLE SIMPLE ELLIPTIC SINGULARITIES

TODOR MILANOV AND YEFENG SHEN

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Global B-model for simple elliptic singularities 6
3. Gromov-Witten theory and Fan-Jarvis-Ruan-Witten theory 16
4. Mirror symmetry at $\sigma = 0$. 31
5. Mirror symmetry at $\sigma = p_k$. 36
6. Mirror symmetry at $\sigma = \infty$. 42
7. Modularity 45
8. Appendix 53
References 58

1. Introduction

In the famous mirror symmetry paper [6], the authors described a duality of Calabi-Yau 3-folds that exchanges the A-model with the B-model. The A-model contains information such as Kähler structure and Gromov-Witten invariants while the B-model contains information such as complex structures and periods integrals. However, this picture is not complete since the complex moduli usually has a non-trivial topology while the Kähler moduli does not. Consider the quintic 3-fold as an example. The mirror is a family of quintic 3-folds

$$\sum_{i=1}^{5} X_i^5 - 5\psi \prod_{i=1}^{5} X_i = 0$$

quotient by $(\mathbb{Z}/5\mathbb{Z})^3$, with $\psi \in \mathbb{P}^1$. This new family contain special limits $\psi = 0, \infty$ and fifth roots of unity, which are referred to as the Gepner point, the large complex structure limit point and the conifold limits. The mirror theorem asserts that the contractible Kähler moduli of quintic 3-fold is mirror to a neighborhood of the large complex structure limit [16, 24].

On the other hand, it is implicit in physics that we should study the entire complex moduli and all the special limits. This global point of view leads to BCOV-holomorphic anomaly equation [4] and recent spectacular physics predictions of the Gromov-Witten invariants of quintic 3-fold up to genus 52 [21].

Landau-Ginzburg phases are introduced as part of the global picture, to describe the neighborhood of the Gepner point, or its mirror. Recently, a candidate of Landau-Ginzburg A-model has been constructed by Fan, Jarvis and Ruan based on a proposal of Witten [13]. It is now called the Fan-Jarvis-Ruan-Witten theory (FJRW theory). It is a Gromov-Witten type theory which counts solutions of Witten equations. Based on this construction, Ruan proposed a mathematical formulation of Landau-Ginzburg/Calabi-Yau (LG/CY) correspondence [31]. This connects the FJRW theory and Gromov-Witten theory for a pair of same initial data. In [9], Chiodo and Ruan addressed the idea of global mirror symmetry to build a bridge for LG/CY correspondence. In short, in this picture, the FJRW theory is formulated as the mirror theory for the Gepner point.

1.1. **The LG/CY correspondence via global mirror symmetry.** Let us first briefly recall the most general setup for the LG/CY correspondence. Recall that a polynomial W is called *quasi-homogeneous* if there are rational numbers q_i, called the *degrees* or the *charges* of X_i, such that

$$W(\lambda^{q_1}X_1,\dots,\lambda^{q_N}X_N)=\lambda W(X_1,\dots,X_N)$$

for all $\lambda\in\mathbb{C}^*$. The polynomial W is called *non-degenerate* if: (1) W defines a unique singularity at zero; (2) the choice of q_i is unique. Let

$$W(\mathbf{x})=\sum_{i=1}^{s}\prod_{j=1}^{N}X_j^{a_{ij}},\quad \mathbf{x}=(X_1,\dots,X_N)$$

be a quasi-homogeneous non-degenerate polynomial. We assume that W is *invertible*, i.e., $s=N$ and the matrix E_W whose entries are the exponents a_{ij} is invertible. A diagonal matrix $\mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1,\dots,\lambda_N)$ is called a *diagonal symmetry* of W if

$$W(\lambda_1X_1,\dots,\lambda_NX_N)=W(X_1,\dots,X_N),\quad \lambda_i\in\mathbb{C}^*.$$

The diagonal symmetries form a group G_W. It contains the element

$$J_W=\mathrm{diag}(e^{2\pi iq_1},\dots,e^{2\pi iq_N}).$$

When W satisfies the *Calabi-Yau condition* $\sum_i q_i=1$, $X_W=\{W=0\}$ defines a Calabi-Yau hypersurface in the weighted projective space $\mathbb{CP}^{N-1}(c_1,\dots,c_N)$, where $q_i=c_i/d$ for a common denominator d. The element J_W acts trivially on X_W, while for any *admissible subgroup* G such that $\langle J_W\rangle\subseteq G\subseteq G_W$, the group $\widetilde{G}=G/\langle J_W\rangle$ acts faithfully on X_W. The LG/CY correspondence predicts that the FJRW theory of (W,G), up to analytic continuation and the quantization of a symplectic transformation, is equivalent to the GW theory of $X_W/\widetilde{G}$ [9].

For an invertible polynomial W, its *transpose* W^T is the unique invertible polynomial such that $E_{W^T} = (E_W)^T$, where for a given square matrix A, we denote by A^T the transpose of A. The role of the transposed polynomial W^T in mirror symmetry was first studied by Berglund and Hübsch (see [5]), then completed by Krawitz by introducing a mirror group construction G^T [23]. Now (W^T, G^T) is referred to as the Berglund-Hübsch-Krawitz mirror of (W, G).

In order to describe the analytic continuation, the FJRW theory of the BHK-mirror pair (W^T, G^T) should be equivalent to some B-type theory of the pair (W, G). For example, when G is the trivial group, then $G^T = G_{W^T}$ and the Saito-Givental theory is expected to be the right model for $(W, \{1\})$. If $G \neq \{1\}$; then the B-type theory of the pair (W, G) is expected to be some orbifolding by G of the Saito-Givental theory. However, the construction of such a B-model is still not available.

1.2. **Main results.** In this paper, we study in detail all possible special limit points in the Saito-Givental theory of a one-parameter family of invertible simple elliptic singularities (ISES for brevity) and find the geometric mirror for each of them.

All ISESs are classified in Table 1. Let us fix an ISES W of type $E^{(1,1)}_{\mu-2}$, $\mu = 8, 9, 10$. Saito constructed a flat structure on the miniversal deformation space $\mathcal{S}$ of W using primitive forms [32]. Following Givental's higher-genus reconstruction formalism [18] we define for every semisimple point $\mathbf{s}$ in $\mathcal{S}$ a formal power series $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{s}}(\hbar; \mathbf{q})$ called the *total ancestor potential* of W. More details of the Saito-Givental theory will be introduced later. Let us point out that the primitive form depends on the choice of W and a *marginal deformation* along ϕ_{-1}, which is a top degree monomial in the *Milnor ring* of W. Let $p_1, \ldots, p_l$ be the points on the complex line, s.t., for $\sigma = p_i$ the point $x = 0$ is not an isolated critical point of the polynomial $W_\sigma = W + \sigma\phi_{-1}$. The points

$$0,\ p_1, \ldots, p_l,\ \infty\ \in \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$$

will be called *special limit points* for the Saito-Givental theory of W. Our goal is to study the total ancestor potentials at the special limit points.

Let σ be a special limit point. We say that σ is a *Gepner point* if there exists a pair (W', G') of an invertible polynomial W' and an admissible group G' of W', such that the total ancestor potential of the FJRW theory for (W', G') coincides with the total ancestor potential of W for some choice of a primitive form. In this case, we will also say that the Gepner point is a (W', G')-*FJRW point.* Similarly, we say that σ is a *large complex structure limit* (LCSL) point if there exists an orbifold X, such that the total ancestor potential of X coincides with the total ancestor potential of W. We will say that the LCSL point is an X-GW point.

Theorem 1.1. *Let W be an invertible polynomial of type $E^{(1,1)}_{\mu-2}$; then*

a) The point $\sigma = 0$ is always an FJRW-point. Moreover, if W^T belongs to Tables 3, 4, or 5, then $\sigma = 0$ is a (W^T, G_{W^T})-FJRW point.

b) The point $\sigma = p_i (1 \le i \le l)$ *is an* X_{W^T}*-GW point.*

Note that in part a) of Theorem 1.1 we have excluded some of the polynomials W appearing in Table 1. The theorem should be true in all cases; however, there is a technical complication when computing the FJRW invariants (see Section 3.5).

Remark 1.2. *In higher dimensions, such as quintic case, the points* $p_1, \dots, p_l$ *are usually referred to as conifold points. It is still not yet known how to construct a geometric mirror for a conifold point. One surprising result of Theorem 1.1 is that for ISESs, there is no conifold point at all.*

An important corollary of Theorem 1.1 is that the total ancestor potential of W extends holomorphically to the entire $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}$, the universal cover of $\mathcal{S}$. In particular, the limit $\mathbf{s} \to 0$ exists for all $\sigma \in \Sigma$. It turns out that the restrictions of the flat coordinates on Σ are multi-valued analytic functions. The marginal flat coordinate is naturally identified with a point on the upper half-plane and the action of the monodromy group is given by some modular group, i.e., the monodromy group $\widetilde{\Gamma}(W)$ of an elliptic fibration $E \to \Sigma$ (c.f. (10)). The remaining flat coordinates are algebraic solutions to hypergeometric equations. Hypergeometric equations admitting algebraic solutions were classified by Schwarz [35] and they form a list which is known as the Schwarz list (see also [22, 26]). The same method as in [27] proves that all GW-theories that arise at $\sigma = 0$ and $\sigma = p_i$ (see Theorem 1.1) are quasi-modular on the subgroup $\Gamma(W)$ of $\widetilde{\Gamma}(W)$ that leaves the non-marginal flat coordinates invariant. In Section 7 we compute $\Gamma(W)$ for the Fermat-type polynomials W of type $E_N^{(1,1)}$, $N = 6, 7, 8$, which yields the following corollary.

Corollary 1.3. *The total ancestor potential of* $\mathbb{CP}^1_{o_1,o_2,o_3}$ *is quasi-modular on* $\Gamma = \Gamma(3), \Gamma(4),$ *and* $\Gamma(6)$ *for respectively* $(o_1, o_2, o_3) = (3, 3, 3), (4, 4, 2),$ *and* $(6, 3, 2)$.

Remark 1.4. *In* [21]*, the authors use gap condition at conifold point and regularity at Gepner point to compute Gromov-Witten invariants of quintic 3-fold up to genus 52. In our case, it is much nicer since the generating functions are all holomorphic near the special limits. It has a potential application to determine explicitly the closed form of Gromov-Witten generating function as a polynomial of ring generators of quasi-modular form.*

Finally, we address the question whether the remaining special limit point $\sigma = \infty$ is of FJRW or GW type. The answer is given in terms of the j-invariant of the elliptic curve at infinity. Given an invertible polynomial W with a marginal deformation we denote by $j_W(\sigma)$ the j-invariant of the elliptic curve E_σ.

Theorem 1.5. *a) Let* W' *and* W'' *be two invertible polynomials, such that*

$$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{Q}_{W'} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{Q}_{W''}, \quad j_{W'}(0) = j_{W''}(0);$$

then the corresponding FJRW-points $(W'^T, G_{W'^T})$ *and* $(W''^T, G_{W''^T})$ *are the same.*

b) Let W be an invertible polynomial (with a fixed marginal deformation); then either $j_W(\sigma)$ has a pole at $\sigma = \infty$, or it has a limit at $\sigma = \infty$, which coincides with $j_{W'}(0)$ for some polynomial W', such that $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathscr{Q}_{W'} = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \mathscr{Q}_W$. *In the latter case $\sigma = \infty$ is a $(W', G_{W'^T})$-FJRW point and in the former $\sigma = \infty$ is an X_{W^T}-GW point.*

Remark 1.6. *This is another surprising result. Usually in a one-parameter B-model family* [11], *the point $\sigma = \infty$ is a LCSL-point.*

Here we obtain two corollaries from Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.5. By inspecting the j-invariant at the special limit points, we notice that the following classification result holds.

Corollary 1.7. *Any special limit point from Saito–Givental theory of ISESs is either a GW-point or an FJRW-point. They are classified by the Milnor number μ of the singularity W and the value of the j-invariant $j(\sigma)$ at the special limit point.*

In particular, we have three different choices of Milnor numbers, $\mu = 8, 9$ or 10. The values of the j-invariant at a special limit point can be only $0, 1728$, or ∞. So, we have nine different types of special limits for all ISESs.

As a second corollary we obtain various correspondences of LG/LG- or of LG/CY-type.

Corollary 1.8. *The generating functions of GW invariants of FJRW-type or CY-type described in Theorem 1.1 and Theorem 1.5 are related by analytic continuation and a quantized symplectic transformation.*

Table 1. Invertible simple elliptic singularities

	$E_6^{(1,1)}$	$E_7^{(1,1)}$	$E_8^{(1,1)}$
Fermat	$X_1^3 + X_2^3 + X_3^3$	$X_1^4 + X_2^4 + X_3^2$	$X_1^6 + X_2^3 + X_3^2$
Fermat+Chain	$X_1^2X_2 + X_2^3 + X_3^3$	$X_1^3X_2 + X_2^4 + X_3^2$	$X_1^4X_2 + X_2^3 + X_3^2$
		$X_1^2X_2 + X_2^2 + X_3^4$	$X_1^3X_2 + X_2^2 + X_3^3$
Fermat+Loop	$X_1^2X_2 + X_1X_2^2 + X_3^3$	$X_1^3X_2 + X_1X_2^3 + X_3^2$	
Chain	$X_1^2X_2 + X_2^2X_3 + X_3^3$	$X_1^3X_2 + X_2^2X_3 + X_3^2$	
Loop	$X_1^2X_2 + X_2^2X_3 + X_1X_3^2$		

1.3. **Plan of the paper.** The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall Givental's construction of the B-model Gromov-Witten type potential in the setting of Saito's theory of primitive forms. We also derive the Picard-Fuchs equations satisfied by the various period integrals. In Section 3, we discuss the two types of geometric Gromov-Witten type constructions: the Gromov-Witten theory of elliptic orbifold lines and the FJRW theory of simple elliptic singularities. We also recall the reconstruction and convergence theorem in both theories. In Sections 4, 5, and 6 we establish the global mirror symmetry by comparing the B-model constructed in Section 2 and the A-models constructed in Section 3. Unfortunately, we do not have a uniform proof for all cases. Instead we give explicit mirror maps and verify mirror symmetry for some of the examples. The verification in all other cases is done in a completely analogous way. In particular, our examples include both LG-CY-LG cases and LG-CY-CY cases. In Section 7 we compute the modular groups for the ISES of Fermat type for $E_7^{(1,1)}$ and $E_8^{(1,1)}$, which in particular proves Corollary 1.3. The modular group for the Fermat type $E_6^{(1,1)}$ is well known, as was already pointed out in [27].

1.4. **Acknowledgement.** We thank Yongbin Ruan for his insight and support for this project. Both authors would like to thank Kentaro Hori, Hiroshi Iritani, and Kyoji Saito for many stimulating conversations. The first author benefited from conversations with Satoshi Kondo and Charles Siegel, while the second author would like to thank also Alessandro Chiodo, Huijun Fan, Tyler Jarvis, and Noriko Yui for helpful discussions. We thank Arthur Greenspoon for editorial assistance. The work of the first author is supported by Grant-In-Aid and by the World Premier International Research Center Initiative (WPI Initiative), MEXT, Japan. The second author would like to thank Kavli IPMU for hospitality where most of this work was done during his visit to Kavli IPMU from February 2012 to September 2012.

2. Global B-model for simple elliptic singularities

Let W be an invertible polynomial from Table 1. We would like to recall Saito's theory of primitive forms which yields a Frobenius structure on $\mathcal{S}$. Following Givental's higher genus reconstruction formalism we will introduce the total ancestor potential of W. Finally, we will derive a system of hypergeometric equations that determines the restriction of the flat coordinates of the Frobenius manifold $\mathcal{S}$ to Σ.

2.1. **The space of miniversal deformations.** In this paper we are interested in the case when $N = 3$ and the charges $q_i = 1/o_i (1 \leq i \leq N)$ for some positive integers o_i. The Calabi-Yau condition is satisfied only in the following three cases

$$(o_1, o_2, o_3) = (3, 3, 3),\ (4, 4, 2),\ \text{and } (6, 3, 2).$$

We denote the corresponding classes of invertible polynomials respectively by $E_6^{(1,1)}$, $E_7^{(1,1)}$, and $E_8^{(1,1)}$. Modulo permutation of the variables $X_i (1 \leq i \leq N)$ there are 13 types of invertible polynomials (see Table 1). We refer to these polynomials as invertible simple elliptic singularities. Let

$$\mathcal{Q}_W = \mathbb{C}[X_1, X_2, X_3]/(\partial_{X_1} W, \partial_{X_2} W, \partial_{X_3} W).$$

be the *Jacobian ring* or *Milnor ring* of W. Let us fix a set $\mathfrak{R}$ of weighted homogeneous monomials

$$\phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{x}) = X_1^{r_1} X_2^{r_2} X_3^{r_3}, \quad \mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2, r_3), \tag{1}$$

such that their projections in $\mathcal{Q}_W$ form a basis. The dimension of the Jacobi ring, i.e., the number of the above monomials, is called the *multiplicity* of the critical point or *Milnor number* and it will be denoted by μ. There is precisely one monomial of top degree, say $\phi_{\mathbf{m}}$, $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, m_3)$. We fix a deformation of W of the following form:

$$W_\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = W(\mathbf{x}) + \sigma\, \phi_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x}), \quad \sigma \in \Sigma, \tag{2}$$

where $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C}$ is the set of all $\sigma \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $W_\sigma(\mathbf{x})$ has only isolated critical points. Such deformations do not change the multiplicity of the critical point at $\mathbf{x} = 0$. The polynomials (2) correspond to families of simple elliptic singularities of type $E^{(1,1)}_{\mu-2}$ (see [33]). More generally, a miniversal deformation (see e.g. [3]) of W can be constructed in the form

$$F(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) = W(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathfrak{R}} s_{\mathbf{r}}\, \phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{x}). \tag{3}$$

It is convenient to adopt two notations for the deformation parameters. Namely, put

$$\mathbf{s} = \{s_{\mathbf{r}}\}_{\mathbf{r} \in \mathfrak{R}} = (s_{-1}, s_0, s_1, \ldots, s_{\mu-2}),$$

where the second equality is obtained by putting an order on the elements $\mathbf{r} \in \mathfrak{R}$ and enumerating them with the integers from -1 to $\mu - 2$ in such a way that

$$s_{-1} = s_{\mathbf{m}} = \sigma, \quad s_0 = s_{\mathbf{0}}, \quad \mathbf{0} = (0, 0, 0) \in \mathfrak{R}.$$

The moduli space of miniversal deformations, i.e., the range of the parameters $s_{\mathbf{r}}$ is then defined to be the affine space $\mathcal{S} = \Sigma \times \mathbb{C}^{\mu-1}$. Furthermore, each $s_{\mathbf{r}}$ is assigned a degree so that F is weighted-homogeneous of degree 1. Note that the parameter $s_{\mathbf{m}} = \sigma$ has degree 0. Following the terminology in physics we call $s_{\mathbf{m}}$ and $\phi_{\mathbf{m}}$ *marginal*. Note that $W_\sigma(\mathbf{x})$ is the restriction of $F(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x})$ to the subspace Σ of marginal deformations. Except for W of Fermat type, there is more than one choice of a marginal monomial. For example, both $X_1X_2X_3$ and $X_1^4X_3$ are marginal for $W = X_1^3X_2 + X_2^2 + X_3^3$.

2.2. **Saito's theory.** Let C be the critical variety of the miniversal deformation F (see (3)), i.e., the support of the sheaf

$$\mathcal{O}_C := \mathcal{O}_X / \langle \partial_{X_1} F, \partial_{X_2} F, \partial_{X_3} F \rangle,$$

where $X = \mathcal{S} \times \mathbb{C}^3$. Let $q : X \to \mathcal{S}$ be the projection on the first factor. The Kodaira–Spencer map ($\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the sheaf of holomorphic vector fields on $\mathcal{S}$)

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}} \longrightarrow q_* \mathcal{O}_C, \quad \partial/\partial s_i \mapsto \partial F/\partial s_i \bmod (F_{X_1}, F_{X_2}, F_{X_3})$$

is an isomorphism, which implies that for any $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}$, the tangent space $T_{\mathbf{s}}\mathcal{S}$ is equipped with an associative commutative multiplication $\bullet_{\mathbf{s}}$ depending holomorphically on $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}$. If in addition we have a volume form $\omega = g(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x})d^3\mathbf{x}$, where $d^3\mathbf{x} = dX_1 \wedge dX_2 \wedge dX_3$ is the standard volume form, then $q_*\mathcal{O}_C$ (hence $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{S}}$ as well) is equipped with the *residue pairing*:

$$\left\langle \psi_1, \psi_2 \right\rangle = \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^3} \int_{\Gamma_\epsilon} \frac{\psi_1(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y})\psi_2(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{y})}{F_{y_1}F_{y_2}F_{y_3}}\, \omega, \tag{4}$$

where $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2, y_3)$ is a unimodular coordinate system for the volume form, i.e., $\omega = d^3\mathbf{y}$, and Γ_ϵ is a real 3-dimensional cycle supported on $|F_{X_i}| = \epsilon$ for $1 \le i \le 3$.

Given a semi-infinite cycle

$$\mathcal{A} \in \varprojlim H_3(\mathbb{C}^3, (\mathbb{C}^3)_{-m}; \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}^\mu, \tag{5}$$

where

$$(\mathbb{C}^3)_m = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid \mathrm{Re}(F(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x})/z) \le m\}. \tag{6}$$

Put

$$J_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{s}, z) = (-2\pi z)^{-3/2}\, z d_{\mathcal{S}} \int_{\mathcal{A}} e^{F(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{x})/z}\omega, \tag{7}$$

where $d_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the de Rham differential on $\mathcal{S}$. The oscillatory integrals $J_{\mathcal{A}}$ are by definition sections of the cotangent sheaf $\mathcal{T}^*_{\mathcal{S}}$.

According to Saito's theory of primitive forms [32], there exists a volume form ω such that the residue pairing is flat and the oscillatory integrals satisfy a system of differential equations, which in flat-homogeneous coordinates $\mathbf{t} = (t_{-1}, t_0, \dots, t_{\mu-2})$ have the form

$$z\partial_i J_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{t}, z) = \partial_i \bullet_{\mathbf{t}} J_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{t}, z), \tag{8}$$

where $\partial_i := \partial/\partial t_i$ $(-1 \le i \le \mu - 2)$ and the multiplication is defined by identifying vectors and covectors via the residue pairing. Using the residue pairing, the flat structure, and the Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism we have the following isomorphisms:

$$T^*\mathcal{S} \cong T\mathcal{S} \cong \mathcal{S} \times T_0\mathcal{S} \cong \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{Q}_W.$$

Due to homogeneity the integrals satisfy a differential equation with respect to the parameter $z \in \mathbb{C}^*$:

$$(z\partial_z + E)J_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{t}, z) = \Theta\, J_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathbf{t}, z), \tag{9}$$

where

$$E = \sum_{i=-1}^{\mu-2} d_i t_i \partial_i, \quad (d_i := \deg t_i = \deg s_i),$$

is the *Euler vector field* and Θ is the so-called *Hodge grading operator*

$$\Theta : \mathcal{T}^*_S \to \mathcal{T}^*_S, \quad \Theta(dt_i) = \left(\frac{1}{2} - d_i\right) dt_i.$$

The compatibility of the system (8)–(9) implies that the residue pairing, the multiplication, and the Euler vector field give rise to a *conformal Frobenius structure* of conformal dimension 1. We refer to B. Dubrovin [12] for the definition and more details on Frobenius structures and to C. Hertling [20] or to Atsushi–Saito [34] for more details on constructing a Frobenius structure from a primitive form.

2.3. **The primitive forms.** The classification of primitive forms in general is a very difficult problem. In the case of simple elliptic singularities however, all primitive forms are known (see [32]). They are given by $\omega = d^3\mathbf{x}/\pi_A(\sigma)$, where $\pi_A(\sigma)$ is the period (11). As we will prove below, these periods are solutions to the hypergeometric equation (13), so a primitive form may be equivalently fixed by fixing a solution to the differential equation that does not vanish on Σ. Note that since $\pi_A(\sigma)$ is multi-valued function, the corresponding Frobenius structure on $\mathcal{S}$ is multi-valued as well. In other words, the primitive form gives rise to a Frobenius structure on the universal cover $\widetilde{\mathcal{S}} \cong \mathbb{H} \times \mathbb{C}^{\mu-1}$.

The key to the primitive form is the Picard-Fuchs differential equation for the periods of the so-called *elliptic curve at infinity*

$$E_\sigma := \Big\{[X_1 : X_2 : X_3] \in \mathbb{CP}^2(c_1, c_2, c_3) \,\Big|\, W_\sigma = 0\Big\}, \tag{10}$$

where $c_i = d/o_i$, $1 \le i \le 3$ and d is the least common multiple of o_1, o_2, and o_3. Note that E_σ are the fibers of an elliptic fibration over $\mathbb{CP}^1 = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ whose non-singular fibers are parametrized by $\Sigma \subset \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$. Note that $\mathrm{Res}_{E_\sigma}\Omega$, where

$$\Omega := \frac{dX_1 \wedge dX_2 \wedge dX_3}{dW_\sigma},$$

is a Calabi-Yau form of the elliptic curve E_σ. For every $A \in H_1(E_\sigma)$, we define the period integral

$$\pi_A(\sigma) = \int_A \mathrm{Res}_{E_\sigma}\Omega. \tag{11}$$

It is well known that the period integrals are solutions to a Fuchsian differential equation. For our purposes, since we have to deal with many examples, it is convenient to follow the approach of S. Gährs (see [16] and Section 2.5). We define a *charge vector*

$$(l_1, l_2, l_3, -l) \in \mathbb{Z}^4$$

by choosing the minimal $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ such that

$$(l_1, l_2, l_3) = l\,\mathbf{m}\,E_W^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, m_3). \tag{12}$$

Put $C = \prod_{i=1}^3 (-l_i/l)^{l_i}$.

Lemma 2.1. *The Picard-Fuchs equation for the elliptic periods is*

$$\delta(\delta-1)\,\pi_A = C\,\sigma^l(\delta + l\alpha)(\delta + l\beta)\,\pi_A, \tag{13}$$

where $\delta = \sigma\partial/\partial\sigma$ *and* α *and* β *are some rational numbers, such that* $\alpha + \beta = 1 - \frac{1}{l}$.

If we put $x = C\,\sigma^l$, $\gamma = \alpha + \beta$, then the above differential equation turns into the standard hypergeometric equation

$$x(1-x)\frac{d^2\pi_A}{dx^2} + (\gamma - (1+\alpha+\beta)x)\,\frac{d\pi_A}{dx} - \alpha\beta\,\pi_A = 0 \tag{14}$$

We call (α,β,γ) the *weights* of the Picard-Fuchs equation. They are listed in Table 8 for $E_8^{(1,1)}$ and in Tables 2 and 7 (see the appendix) in the other two cases. In particular, the singularities of the Picard–Fuchs equation are at the points

$$p_i = C^{-1/l}\eta^i, \quad 1 \le i \le l, \quad \eta = \exp(2\pi\sqrt{-1}/l), \tag{15}$$

and we have $\Sigma = \mathbb{C}\backslash\{p_1,\dots,p_l\}$.

2.4. **Givental's higher-genus reconstruction formalism.** Following Givental we introduce the vector space $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{Q}_W((z))$ of formal Laurent series in z^{-1} with coefficients in $\mathcal{Q}_W$, equipped with the symplectic structure

$$\Omega(f(z), g(z)) = \mathrm{res}_{z=0}(f(-z), g(z))dz.$$

Using the polarization $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_+ \oplus \mathcal{H}_-$, where $\mathcal{H}_+ = \mathcal{Q}_W[z]$ and $\mathcal{H}_- = \mathcal{Q}_W[[z^{-1}]]z^{-1}$ we identify $\mathcal{H}$ with the cotangent bundle $T^*\mathcal{H}_+$. The goal in this subsection is to define the total ancestor potential of W.

2.4.1. *The stationary phase asymptotics.* Let $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}$ be a semisimple point, i.e., the critical values u_i $(1 \le i \le \mu)$ form locally near $\mathbf{s}$ a coordinate system. Then we have an isomorphism

$$\Psi : \mathbb{C}^\mu \to \mathcal{Q}_W \cong T_{\mathbf{s}}\mathcal{S}, \quad e_i \mapsto \sqrt{\Delta_i}\,\partial/\partial u_i, \quad (\partial/\partial u_i, \partial/\partial u_j) = \delta_{ij}/\Delta_i,$$

that diagonalizes the Frobenius multiplication and the residue pairing:

$$e_i \bullet e_j = \sqrt{\Delta_i}e_i\delta_{i,j}, \quad (e_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}.$$

The system of differential equations (8) and (9) admits a unique formal solution of the type

$$\Psi R(\mathbf{s}, z)e^{U/z}, \quad R(\mathbf{s}, z) = 1 + R_1(\mathbf{s})z + R_2(\mathbf{s})z^2 + \cdots$$

where U is a diagonal matrix with entries $u_1,\dots,u_\mu$ on the diagonal and $R_k(\mathbf{s}) \in \mathrm{End}(\mathbb{C}^\mu)$. Alternatively this formal solution coincides with the stationary phase asymptotics of the following integrals. Let $\mathcal{B}_i$ be the semi-infinite cycle of the type (5) consisting of all points $x \in \mathbb{C}^3$ such that the gradient trajectories of $-\mathrm{Re}(F/z)$ flow into the critical value u_i. Then

$$(-2\pi z)^{-3/2}\, z d_S \int_{\mathcal{B}_i} e^{F(\mathbf{s},x)/z}\omega \;\sim\; e^{u_i/z}\Psi R(\mathbf{s}, z)e_i \quad \text{as } z \to 0.$$

We refer to [3, 18] for more details and proofs.

2.4.2. *The quantization formalism.* Let us fix the Darboux coordinate system on $\mathcal{H}$ given by the linear functions q_k^i, $p_{k,i}$ defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{f}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{i=-1}^{\mu-2} (q_k^i\, \partial_i\, z^k + p_{k,i}\, \partial^i\, (-z)^{-k-1}) \quad \in \quad \mathcal{H},$$

where $\partial_i = \partial/\partial t_i$ and $\partial^i = dt_i$.

It is known (and it is easy to prove) that R is a symplectic transformation, i.e., ${}^{\mathrm{T}}R(-z)R(z) = I_\mu$. Note that R has the form $e^{A(z)}$, where $A(z)$ is an infinitesimal symplectic transformation. On the other hand, a linear transformation $A(z)$ is infinitesimal symplectic if and only if the map $\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{H} \mapsto A\mathbf{f} \in \mathcal{H}$ defines a Hamiltonian vector field with Hamiltonian given by the quadratic function $h_A(\mathbf{f}) = \frac{1}{2}\Omega(A\mathbf{f}, \mathbf{f})$. By definition, the quantization of e^A is given by the differential operator $e^{\widehat{h_A}}$, where the quadratic Hamiltonians are quantized according to the following rules:

$$\widehat{(p_{k,i}p_{l,j})} = \hbar \frac{\partial^2}{\partial q_k^i \partial q_l^j}, \quad \widehat{(p_{k,i}q_l^j)} = \widehat{(q_l^j p_{k,i})} = q_l^j \frac{\partial}{\partial q_k^i}, \quad \widehat{(q_k^i q_l^j)} = q_k^i q_l^j/\hbar.$$

Note that the quantization defines a projective representation of the Poisson Lie algebra of quadratic Hamiltonians:

$$[\widehat{F}, \widehat{G}] = \widehat{\{F, G\}} + C(F, G),$$

where F and G are quadratic Hamiltonians and the values of the cocycle C on a pair of Darboux monomials is non-zero only in the following cases:

$$C(p_{k,i}p_{l,j}, q_k^i q_l^j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (k,i) \neq (l,j), \\ 2 & \text{if } (k,i) = (l,j). \end{cases} \tag{16}$$

The action of the operator $\widehat{R}$ on an element $F(\mathbf{q}) \in \mathbb{C}_\hbar[[q_0, q_1+1, q_2, \dots]]$, whenever it makes sense, is given by the following formula:

$$\widehat{R}\, F(\mathbf{q}) = \left(e^{\frac{\hbar}{2} V\partial^2} F(\mathbf{q})\right)\Big|_{\mathbf{q}\mapsto R^{-1}\mathbf{q}}, \tag{17}$$

where $V\partial^2$ is the quadratic differential operator $\sum_{k,l}(\partial^a, V_{kl}\partial^b)\partial_{q_k^a}\partial_{q_l^b}$, whose coefficients V_{kl} are given by

$$\sum_{k,l=0}^{\infty} V_{kl}(-z)^k(-w)^l = \frac{{}^{\mathrm{T}}R(z)R(w) - 1}{z + w}. \tag{18}$$

2.4.3. *The total ancestor potential.* By definition, the Kontsevich-Witten tau-function is the following generating series:

$$\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{pt}}(\hbar; q(z)) = \exp\Big(\sum_{g,n} \frac{1}{n!}\hbar^{g-1} \int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (q(\psi_i) + \psi_i)\Big), \tag{19}$$

where $q(z) = \sum_k q_k z^k$, $(q_0, q_1, \dots)$ are formal variables, and ψ_i $(1 \le i \le n)$ are the first Chern classes of the cotangent line bundles on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$. The function is interpreted as a formal series in $q_0, q_1 + \mathbf{1}, q_2, \dots$ whose coefficients are Laurent series in $\hbar$..

Let $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}$ be *a semisimple* point, i.e., the critical values $u^i(\mathbf{s})$ $(1 \le i \le \mu)$ of $F(\mathbf{s}, x)$ form a coordinate system. Motivated by Gromov–Witten theory Givental introduced the notion of the *total ancestor potential* of a semisimple Frobenius structure. In particular, the definition makes sense in singularity theory as well. Namely, the total ancestor potential is by definition the following formal function on $\mathcal{Q}_W[z]$:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{s}}(\hbar; \mathbf{q}) := \widehat{\Psi}\,\widehat{R}\,e^{\widehat{U/z}} \prod_{i=1}^{\mu} \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{pt}}(\hbar\,\Delta_i; {}^i\mathbf{q}(z)\sqrt{\Delta_i}) \tag{20}$$

where

$$\mathbf{q}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum_{a=-1}^{\mu-2} q_k^a z^k \partial_a, \quad {}^i\mathbf{q}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} {}^i q_k z^k.$$

The quantization $\widehat{\Psi}$ is interpreted as the change of variables

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\mu} {}^i\mathbf{q}(z) e_i = \Psi^{-1}\mathbf{q}(z) \quad \text{i.e.} \quad {}^i q_k \sqrt{\Delta_i} = \sum_{a=-1}^{\mu-2} (\partial_a u^i)\, q_k^a. \tag{21}$$

The correctness of definition (20) is not quite obvious. The problem is that the substitution $\mathbf{q} \mapsto R^{-1}\mathbf{q}$, which, written in more detail, reads

$$q_0 \mapsto q_0, \quad q_1 \mapsto \overline{R}_1 q_0 + q_1, \quad q_2 \mapsto \overline{R}_2 q_0 + \overline{R}_1 q_1 + q_2, \quad \dots,$$

where

$$R^{-1} = 1 + \overline{R}_1 z + \overline{R}_2 z^2 + \cdots,$$

is not a well-defined operation on the space of formal series. This complication however, is offset by a certain property of the Kontsevich–Witten tau function. By definition, an *asymptotic* function is an element of the Fock space $\mathbb{C}_\hbar[[q_0, q_1, \dots]]$ of the form

$$\mathcal{A} = \exp\Big(\sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \overline{\mathcal{F}}^{(g)}(\mathbf{q})\hbar^{g-1} \Big).$$

It is called *tame* if the following $(3g-3+r)$-jet constraints are satisfied:

$$\left.\frac{\partial^r \mathcal{F}^{(g)}}{\partial q_{k_1}^{i_1} \cdots \partial q_{k_r}^{i_r}}\right|_{\mathbf{q}=0} = 0 \quad \text{if } k_1 + \cdots + k_r \ge 3g - 3 + r.$$

The Kontsevich–Witten tau function, up to the shift $q_1 \mapsto q_1 + \mathbf{1}$, is tame for dimensional reasons: $\dim \mathcal{M}_{g,r} = 3g-3+r$. It follows that the action of $\widehat{R}$ is well-defined. Moreover, according to Givental [19], $\widehat{R}$ preserves the class of tame asymptotic functions. In other words, the total ancestor potential is a tame asymptotic function in the Fock space $\mathbb{C}_\hbar[[q_0, q_1 + \mathbf{1}, q_2, \ldots]]$.

2.5. **Picard-Fuchs equations.**

Let us denote by

$$X_{\mathbf{s}} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid F(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) = 1\}, \quad \mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}.$$

The points $\mathbf{s}$ for which $X_{\mathbf{s}}$ is singular form an analytic hypersurface in $\mathcal{S}$ called the *discriminant*. Its complement in $\mathcal{S}$ will be denoted by $\mathcal{S}'$. We will be interested in the period integrals

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{s}) = \int \phi_{\mathbf{r}}(x)\, \frac{d^3\mathbf{x}}{dF}, \quad \phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{x}) = X_1^{r_1} X_2^{r_2} X_3^{r_3}, \quad \mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2, r_3).$$

They are sections of the middle (or vanishing) cohomology bundle on $\mathcal{S}'$ formed by $H^2(X_{\mathbf{s}}, \mathbb{C})$. Slightly abusing the notation, we denote the restriction to $s_{-1} = \sigma$, $s_i = 0 (0 \leq i \leq \mu - 2)$ by $\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma)$. Following the idea of [16], we first obtain a GKZ (Gelfand–Kapranov–Zelevinsky) system of differential equations for the periods. Using that the period integrals are not polynomial in σ (they have singularities at the punctures of Σ) we can reduce the GKZ system to a Picard-Fuchs equation.

2.5.1. *The GKZ system.*

In order to derive the GKZ system, we slightly modify the polynomial W. By definition $W(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^3 \phi_{\mathbf{a}_i}(\mathbf{x})$, where $\mathbf{a}_i$ are the rows of the matrix E_W. Put

$$W_{\mathbf{v},\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{3} v_i\, \phi_{\mathbf{a}_i}(\mathbf{x}) + \sigma\, \phi_{-1}(\mathbf{x}),$$

where $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, v_2, v_3)$ are some complex parameters. For simplicity. we omit $\mathbf{v}$ in the notation if $\mathbf{v} = (1, 1, 1)$. Let us write $X_\sigma^{\mathbf{v},\lambda} = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{C}^3 \mid W_{\mathbf{v},\sigma}(\mathbf{x}) = \lambda\}$. Then we define the period integrals

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{v},\lambda}(\sigma) = \int \phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{x})\, \frac{d^3\mathbf{x}}{dW_{\mathbf{v},\sigma}}; \tag{22}$$

again one should think that the above integral is a section of the vanishing cohomology for $W_{\mathbf{v},\sigma}(\mathbf{x})$. The vanishing cohomology bundle is equipped with a Gauss–Manin connection ∇. The following formulas are well known (see e.g. [3])

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\partial/\partial\lambda} \int \theta &= \int \frac{d\theta}{dW_{\mathbf{v},\sigma}} \\ \nabla_{\partial/\partial v_i} \int \theta &= -\int \frac{\partial W_{\mathbf{v},\sigma}}{\partial v_i}\, \frac{d\theta}{dW_{\mathbf{v},\sigma}} + \int \mathrm{Lie}_{\partial/\partial v_i}\theta, \end{aligned} \tag{23}$$

where θ is a 2-form on $\mathbb{C}^3$ possibly depending on the parameters $\mathbf{v}$. Finally, note that rescaling $X_i \mapsto \lambda^{q_i} X_i (1 \leq i \leq 3)$ yields

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{v},\lambda}(\sigma) = \lambda^{\deg \phi_{\mathbf{r}}}\, \Phi_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{v},1}(\sigma).$$

Let $\delta_i = v_i\partial/\partial v_i (1 \le i \le 3)$ and $\delta = \sigma\partial/\partial\sigma$.

Lemma 2.2. *The period integral* $\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{v},\lambda}$ *satisfies the following system of differential equations:*

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_\sigma^l \prod_{i:l_i<0} \partial_{v_i}^{-l_i}\,\Phi &= \prod_{i:l_i>0} \partial_{v_i}^{l_i}\,\Phi; \\ (\delta_1,\delta_2,\delta_3)\,E_W\,\Phi + (m_1,m_2,m_3)\delta\,\Phi &= -(1+r_1, 1+r_2, 1+r_3)\Phi. \end{aligned}$$

where the range for i and j in the first equation on the LHS and the RHS is $0 \le i \le 3$.

Proof. Using (23) we get the following differential equations:

$$\partial_{v_i}\,\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{v},\lambda} = -\partial_\lambda\,\Phi_{\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{a}_i}^{\mathbf{v},\lambda}, \quad 1 \le i \le 3,$$

and

$$\partial_\sigma\,\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}^{\mathbf{v},\lambda} = -\partial_\lambda\,\Phi_{\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{m}}^{\mathbf{v},\lambda}, \quad \mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, m_3),$$

where $\phi_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x})$ is the marginal monomial. The first differential equation is equivalent to the identity

$$l\,m_k - \sum_{i,l_i<0} a_{ik}\,l_i = \sum_{j,l_j>0} a_{jk}\,l_j\,.$$

which is true by definition (see (12)).

For the second equation, using the above formulas we get that the i-th entry on the LHS is

$$-\partial_\lambda \int X_i\,\phi_{\mathbf{r}}(X)\,\frac{d^3\mathbf{x}}{dX_i} = -(r_i+1)\int \phi_{\mathbf{r}}(X)\,\frac{d^3\mathbf{x}}{dX_i},$$

where we used formulas (23) again. □

Let us define the row-vector $\zeta = (\zeta_1,\zeta_2,\zeta_3) = \mathbf{r}\,E_W^{-1}$. Note also that the weights (q_1^T, q_2^T, q_3^T) of the dual polynomial are precisely $(1,1,1)\,E_W^{-1}$.

Lemma 2.3. *The period integral* $\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma)$ *is in the kernel of the following differential operator:*

$$\sigma^{-l}\prod_{k=0}^{l-1}(\delta-k)\prod_{i,l_i<0}\prod_{k=0}^{-l_i-1}\left(\delta+\frac{l\left(q_i^T+\zeta_i+k\right)}{l_i}\right) - C\prod_{i,l_i>0}\prod_{k=0}^{l_i-1}\left(\delta+\frac{l\left(q_i^T+\zeta_i+k\right)}{l_i}\right), \quad (24)$$

where $C = \prod_{i=1}^3 (-l_i/l)^{l_i}$.

Proof. Using the second equation in Lemma 2.2 we can express the derivatives $\partial_{v_i} = v_i^{-1}\delta_i$ in terms of δ. Substituting in the first equation we get a higher order differential equation in σ only. It remains only to notice that the resulting equation is independent of v and λ. □

2.5.2. *Picard-Fuchs equation.* Let $q_0^T = 0, l_0 = -l$, and set

$$\beta_{i,k} = \frac{1}{l_i}(q_i^T + \zeta_i + k), \quad 0 \le k \le |l_i| - 1. \tag{25}$$

The differential operator in Lemma 2.3 can be factored as the product of a Bessel differential operator

$$\prod_{i,k}(\delta + l\beta_{i,k}) \tag{26}$$

and an operator of the form

$$\prod_{i',k'}(\delta + l\beta_{i',k'}) - C\sigma^l \prod_{i'',k''}(\delta + l\beta_{i'',k''}). \tag{27}$$

This is done simply by factoring out the common left divisors in the two summands, i.e.,there is no pairs (i', k') and (i'', k'') in the operator (27), such that, $\beta_{i',k'} + 1 = \beta_{i'',k''}$.

Lemma 2.4. *The numbers* (25) *satisfy the following identity:*

$$\sum_{i:l_i>0}\sum_{k=0}^{l_i-1}\beta_{i,k} - \sum_{0\le j\le 3:l_j<0}\sum_{k'=0}^{-l_j-1}\left(1 + \beta_{j,k'}\right) = \deg\phi_{\mathbf{r}}.$$

Proof. By definition

$$\begin{aligned} LHS &= \sum_{i:l_i>0}\left(\frac{l_i-1}{2} + q_i^T + \zeta_i\right) - \sum_{j;l_j<0}\left(-l_j - \frac{-l_j-1}{2} - q_j^T - \zeta_j\right) - \frac{l-1}{2} = \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{3}\left(q_i^T + \zeta_i + \frac{l_i-1}{2}\right) - \frac{l-1}{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{3}\zeta_i = \deg\phi_{\mathbf{r}}. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

The action of the operator (27) on a period integral is again a period integral. The latter is holomorphic at $\sigma = 0$; therefore, if it is in the kernel of the Bessel operator, it must be a polynomial in σ. But a non-zero period integral cannot be a polynomial. In other words the period $\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma)$ is a solution to the Picard-Fuchs equation corresponding to the differential operator (27).

Lemma 2.5. *Let* $x = C\sigma^l$; *then, depending on the order of the differential operator* (27) *the corresponding differential equation has the form*

$$(1-x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Phi_{\mathbf{r}} = \deg\phi_{\mathbf{r}}\,\Phi_{\mathbf{r}},$$

if the order is 1 and

$$x(1-x)\frac{\partial^2\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial x^2} + (\gamma_{\mathbf{r}} - (1 + \alpha_{\mathbf{r}} + \beta_{\mathbf{r}})x)\,\frac{\partial\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}}{\partial x} - \alpha_{\mathbf{r}}\beta_{\mathbf{r}}\,\Phi_{\mathbf{r}} = 0,$$

if the order is 2. In the second case we have the following identity:

$$\alpha_{\mathbf{r}} + \beta_{\mathbf{r}} - \gamma_{\mathbf{r}} = \deg \phi_{\mathbf{r}}. \tag{28}$$

Table 2. $E_6^{(1,1)}$

W	m_1, m_2, m_3	l_1, l_2, l_3, l	q_1^T, q_2^T, q_3^T	α, β, γ
$X_1^3 + X_2^3 + X_3^3$	$(1, 1, 1)$	$1, 1, 1, 3$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$
$X_1^2 X_2 + X_2^3 + X_3^3$	$(2, 0, 1)$	$3, -1, 1, 3$	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{2}{3}$
$X_1^2 X_2 + X_2^3 + X_3^3$	$(0, 2, 1)$	$0, 2, 1, 3$	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{12}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{2}{3}$
$X_1^2 X_2 + X_1 X_2^2 + X_3^3$	$(1, 1, 1)$	$1, 1, 1, 3$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$
$X_1^2 X_2 + X_1 X_2^2 + X_3^3$	$(2, 0, 1)$	$4, -2, 1, , 3$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{12}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{2}{3}$
$X_1^2 X_2 + X_2^2 X_3 + X_3^3$	$(2, 0, 1)$	$2, -1, 1, 2$	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}$
$X_1^2 X_2 + X_2^2 X_3 + X_3^3$	$(0, 3, 0)$	$0, 3, -1, 2$	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{12}, \frac{5}{12}, \frac{1}{2}$
$X_1^2 X_2 + X_2^2 X_3 + X_3^3$	$(0, 1, 2)$	$0, 1, 1, 2$	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}$
$X_1^2 X_2 + X_2^2 X_3 + X_1 X_3^2$	$(1, 1, 1)$	$1, 1, 1, 3$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$
$X_1^2 X_2 + X_2^2 X_3 + X_1 X_3^2$	$(3, 0, 0)$	$4, -2, 1, 3$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{12}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{2}{3}$

The first part of the Lemma and the identity (28) are corollaries of Lemma 2.4. Unfortunately, we do not have a general combinatorial rule to determine which indexes (i', k') and (i'', k'') should appear in (27). In other words, the second part of the Lemma is proved on a case by case basis. It is a straightforward tedious computation. Explicit values for the parameters of the hypergeometric equations are listed in the Appendix. In particular, when $\phi_{\mathbf{r}} = 1$, Lemma 2.5 implies Lemma 2.1.

3. Gromov-Witten theory and Fan-Jarvis-Ruan-Witten theory

The proof of Theorem 1.1 relies on a certain reconstruction properties of the corresponding GW and FJRW invariants. Both types of invariants can be identified with correlators associated with some Cohomological Field Theory (CohFT). According to Krawitz–Shen [25], starting with a certain initial set of 3- and 4-point genus-0 correlators, we can determine the remaining invariants using only the axioms of a CohFT. The goal in this section is to introduce the CohFTs relevant for Theorem 1.1 and to compute explicitly the initial data of correlators needed for the reconstruction.

3.1. **Cohomological Field Theories.** Let H be a vector space of dimension N with a unit $\mathbf{1}$ and a non-degenerate paring η. Without loss of generality, we always fix a basis of H, say $\mathscr{S} := \{\partial_i, i = 0, \ldots, N-1\}$, and we set $\partial_0 = \mathbf{1}$. Let $\{\partial^j\}$ be the dual basis in the dual space $H^\vee$, (i.e., $\eta(\partial_i, \partial^j) = \delta_i^j$). A CohFT is a set of multi linear maps $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_{g,n}\}$, with

$$\Lambda_{g,n} : H^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C}),$$

or equivalently,

$$\Lambda_{g,n} \in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}, \mathbb{C}) \otimes (H^\vee)^{\otimes n},$$

defined for each stable genus g curve with n marked points,i.e., $2g - 2 + n > 0$. Furthermore, Λ satisfies a set of axioms (CohFT axioms) described below:

(1) (S_n-invariance) For any $\sigma \in S_n$, and $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n \in H$, then

$$\Lambda_{g,n}(\gamma_{\sigma(1)}, \ldots, \gamma_{\sigma(n)}) = \Lambda_{g,n}(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n).$$

(2) (Gluing tree) Let

$$\rho_{tree} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_1,n_1+1} \times \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g_2,n_2+1} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$$

where $g = g_1 + g_2, n = n_1 + n_2$, be the morphism induced from gluing the last marked point of the first curve and the first marked point of the second curve; then

$$\begin{aligned}&\rho_{tree}^*(\Lambda_{g,n}(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n))\\ &\quad = \sum_{\alpha,\beta \in SS} \Lambda_{g_1,n_1+1}(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_{n_1}, \alpha)\eta^{\alpha,\beta}\Lambda_{g_2,n_2+1}(\beta, \gamma_{n_1+1}, \ldots, \gamma_n).\end{aligned}$$

Here $(\eta^{\alpha,\beta})_{N\times N}$ is the inverse matrix of $(\eta(\alpha, \beta))_{N\times N}$.

(3) (Gluing loop) Let

$$\rho_{loop} : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g-1,n+2} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n},$$

be the morphism induced from gluing the last two marked points; then

$$\rho_{loop}^*(\Lambda_{g,n}(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n)) = \sum_{\alpha,\beta \in SS} \Lambda_{g-1,n+2}(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n, \alpha, \beta)\eta^{\alpha,\beta}.$$

(4) (Pairing)

$$\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}} \Lambda_{0,3}(\mathbf{1}, \gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \eta(\gamma_1, \gamma_2).$$

If in addition the following axiom holds:

(5) (Flat identity) Let $\pi : \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+1} \to \overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ be the forgetful morphism; then

$$\Lambda_{g,n+1}(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n, \mathbf{1}) = \pi^*\Lambda_{g,n}(\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_n).$$

then we say that Λ is a CohFT with a *flat identity*.

If Λ is a CohFT; then there is a natural formal family of CohFTs. Namely,

$$\Lambda_{g,n}(\mathbf{t})(\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_n)=\sum_{l=0}^{\infty}\frac{1}{l!}\pi_*\,\Lambda_{g,n+l}(\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_n,\mathbf{t},\ldots,\mathbf{t}),$$

where $\pi:\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n+l}\to\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ is the morphism forgetting the last l marked points. Note that $\Lambda_{0,3}(\mathbf{t})$ will induce a family of Frobenius multiplications $\bullet_{\mathbf{t}}$ on (H,η), defined by

$$\eta(\alpha\bullet_{\mathbf{t}}\beta,\gamma)=\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,3}}\Lambda_{0,3}(\mathbf{t})(\alpha,\beta,\gamma). \tag{29}$$

The CohFT axioms imply that $(H,\eta,\bullet_{\mathbf{t}})$ is a Frobenius manifold in the sense of Dubrovin [12]. The vector space H is called the *state space* of the CohFT.

3.2. The total ancestor potential of a CohFT.

For a given CohFT Λ, the *correlator functions* are by definition the following formal series in $\mathbf{t}\in H$:

$$\Big\langle\tau_{k_1}(\alpha_1),\ldots,\tau_{k_n}(\alpha_n)\Big\rangle_{g,n}(\mathbf{t})=\int_{\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}}\Lambda_{g,n}(\mathbf{t})(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n)\,\psi_1^{k_1}\ldots\psi_n^{k_n}\,, \tag{30}$$

where ψ_i is the i-th psi class on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$, $\alpha_i\in H$, and $k_i\in\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. The value of a correlator function at $\mathbf{t}=0$ is called simply a *correlator*. We call g the genus of the correlator function and each $\tau_{k_i}(\alpha_i)$ is called a *descendant* (resp. *non-descendant*) *insertion* if $k_i>0$ (resp. $k_i=0$).

For each basis vector ∂_i in H we fix a sequence of formal variables $\{q_k^i\}_{k=0}^{\infty}$ and define

$$\mathbf{q}(z)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\sum_{i=0}^{N-1}q_k^i\,\partial_i\,z^k\ ;$$

then the *genus-g ancestor potential* is the following generating function:

$$\mathscr{F}_g^{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{t}):=\sum_n\frac{1}{n!}\Big\langle\mathbf{q}(\psi_1)+\psi_1,\ldots,\mathbf{q}(\psi_n)+\psi_n\Big\rangle_{g,n}^{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{t}),$$

where each correlator should be expanded multi linearly in $\mathbf{q}$ and the resulting correlators are evaluated according to (30). Let us point out that we have assumed that the CohFT has a flat identity $\mathbf{1}\in H$ and we have incorporated the *dilaton shift* in our function, so that $\mathscr{F}_g^{GW}$ is a formal series in q_k, $k\neq 0$ and $q_1+\mathbf{1}$. Finally, the *total ancestor potential* is

$$\mathscr{A}^{\mathcal{X}}(\hbar;\mathbf{q},\mathbf{t}):=\exp\left(\sum_{g=0}^{\infty}\hbar^{2g-2}\mathscr{F}_g^{\mathcal{X}}(\mathbf{q},\mathbf{t})\right).$$

3.3. **GW theory of elliptic orbifold $\mathbb{P}^1$.** Let $\mathbb{P}^1_{o_1,o_2,o_3}$ be the orbifold-$\mathbb{P}^1$ with 3 orbifold points, such that, the i-th one has isotropy group $\mathbb{Z}/o_i\mathbb{Z}$. We are interested in the following 3 cases: $(o_1, o_2, o_3) = (3, 3, 3), (4, 4, 2), (6, 3, 2)$. Together with $\mathbb{P}^1_{2,2,2,2}$, they correspond to orbifold-$\mathbb{P}^1$s that are quotients of an elliptic curve by a finite group.

The Chen-Ruan cohomology $H^*_{CR}(\mathbb{P}^1_{o_1,o_2,o_3})$ has the following form:

$$H^*_{CR}(\mathbb{P}^1_{o_1,o_2,o_3}) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{3}\bigoplus_{j=1}^{o_i-1}\mathbb{C}[\Delta_{ij}]\bigoplus\mathbb{C}[\Delta_{01}]\bigoplus\mathbb{C}[\Delta_{02}].$$

where $\Delta_{01} = 1$ and Δ_{02} is the Poincaré dual to a point. The classes $\Delta_{ij}(1 \le i \le 3, 1 \le j \le o_i - 1)$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the twisted sectors. The latter are just orbifold points, and we define Δ_{ij} to be the unit in the cohomology of the corresponding twisted sector. Our indexing is such that the complex degrees are

$$\deg\Delta_{ij} = j/o_i, \quad 1 \le i \le 3, \quad 1 \le j \le o_i - 1.$$

Let $\mathcal{X}$ be a compact Kahlër orbifold and $\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{X}}_{g,n,d}$ be the moduli space of degree-d stable maps from a genus-g orbi-curve, equipped with n marked points, to $\mathcal{X}$. Let us denote by π the forgetful map, and by ev_i the evaluation at the i-th marked point

$$\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n} \xleftarrow{\pi} \overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{X}}_{g,n+k,d} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{ev_i}} I\mathcal{X}\ .$$

The moduli space is equipped with a virtual fundamental cycle $[\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{X}}_{g,n,d}]$, such that the maps

$$\Lambda^{\mathcal{X}}_{g,n} : H^*_{CR}(\mathbb{P}^1_{o_1,o_2,o_3})^{\otimes n} \longrightarrow H^*(\mathcal{M}_{g,n};\mathbb{C})$$

defined by

$$\Lambda^{\mathcal{X}}_{g,n}(\alpha_1,\dots,\alpha_n) := \pi_*\left([\overline{\mathcal{M}}^{\mathcal{X}}_{g,n,d}]\cap\prod_{i=1}^{n}\mathrm{ev}_i^*(\alpha_i)\right)$$

form a CohFT with state space $H^*_{CR}(\mathbb{P}^1_{o_1,o_2,o_3})$. The total ancestor potential $\mathscr{A}^{\mathcal{X}}$ of $\mathcal{X}$ is by definition the total ancestor potential of the CohFT. For more details on orbifold Gromov–Witten theory we refer to [7].

3.4. **Reconstruction of the elliptic orbifold Gromov-Witten invariants.** Recall the Chen-Ruan orbifold cup product $\star$ on $H^*_{CR}(\mathbb{P}^1_{o_1,o_2,o_3};\mathbb{C})$. By definition, the structure constants of $\star$ are defined by the following genus-0 degree-0 correlators:

$$\left\langle\alpha_1\star\alpha_2,\alpha_3\right\rangle = \left\langle\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\alpha_3\right\rangle_{0,3,0}.$$

The orbifold Poincaré pairing takes the form

$$\left\langle \Delta_{i_1 j_1}, \Delta_{i_2 j_2} \right\rangle = \begin{cases} \left(\delta_{i_1,i_2}\delta_{j_1+j_2,o_k}\right)/o_k, k = i_1, & i_1 + i_2 \neq 0; \\ \delta_{j_1+j_2,3}, & i_1 = i_2 = 0. \end{cases}$$

It is not hard to prove (using only the grading and the Poincaré pairing) that the above 3-point correlators are given by the following formulas:

$$\left\langle \Delta_{i_1 j_1}, \Delta_{i_2 j_2}, \Delta_{i_3 j_3} \right\rangle_{0,3,0} = \begin{cases} 1/o_i, & i_1 = i_2 = i_3 = k \in \{1, 2, 3\}, j_1 + j_2 + j_3 = o_k; \\ \left\langle \Delta_{i_2 j_2}, \Delta_{i_3 j_3} \right\rangle, & (i_1, j_1) = (0, 1); \\ 0, & \text{otherwise}. \end{cases}$$

According to [25],we have the following reconstruction result.

Lemma 3.1. *The Gromov-Witten ancestor potential of* $\mathcal{X} = \mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3}, \mathbb{P}^1_{4,4,2}, \mathbb{P}^1_{6,3,2}$ *is determined by the following initial data: the Poincaré pairing, the Chen-Ruan product, and the correlator* $\langle \Delta_{1,1}, \Delta_{2,1}, \Delta_{3,1} \rangle^{\mathcal{X}}_{0,3,1} = 1$.

In particular, using Lemma (3.1), one can construct a mirror symmetry identification between the Gromov–Witten theory of the above orbifolds and the Saito–Givental theory associated to certain ISES (see [25, 27]). Furthermore, since the mirror symmetry identifies the correlation functions with certain period integrals, by analyzing the monodromy of the period integrals, one can prove that the Gromov-Witten invariants are quasi-modular forms on some finite index subgroups of the modular group. The question which modular groups appear was not answered in [27], except for the case $E_6^{(1,1)}$. In Section 7, we will prove that for $E_7^{(1,1)}$ and $E_8^{(1,1)}$ the modular groups are respectively $\Gamma(4)$ and $\Gamma(6)$.

Let us conclude this subsection with a computational observation that would be nice if one could explain it more conceptually. The non-zero, genus-0, 3-point correlators are modular (non-cusp) forms of weight 1. Let us list the first few terms of their Fourier series. Let $q = e^{2\pi i\tau/3}$, for $\mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3}$ the corresponding weight 1 modular forms on $\Gamma(3)$ are

$$\begin{cases} \left\langle \Delta_{1,1}, \Delta_{2,1}, \Delta_{3,1} \right\rangle_{0,3} = q + q^4 + 2q^7 + 2q^{13} + \cdots \\ \left\langle \Delta_{1,1}, \Delta_{1,1}, \Delta_{1,1} \right\rangle_{0,3} = 1/3 + 2q^3 + 2q^9 + 2q^{12} + \cdots , \end{cases}$$

Let $q = e^{2\pi i\tau/4}$; for $\mathbb{P}^1_{4,4,2}$ the corresponding weight 1 modular forms on $\Gamma(4)$ are

$$\begin{cases} \left\langle \Delta_{1,1}, \Delta_{2,1}, \Delta_{3,1} \right\rangle_{0,3} = q + 2q^5 + q^9 + 2q^{13} + \cdots \\ \left\langle \Delta_{1,1}, \Delta_{1,1}, \Delta_{1,2} \right\rangle_{0,3} = 1/4 + q^4 + q^8 + q^{16} + \cdots \\ \left\langle \Delta_{1,1}, \Delta_{1,1}, \Delta_{2,2} \right\rangle_{0,3} = q^2 + 2q^{10} + q^{18} + \cdots , \end{cases}$$

Let $q = e^{2\pi i\tau/6}$; for $\mathbb{P}^1_{6,3,2}$ the corresponding weight 1 modular forms on $\Gamma(6)$ are

$$\begin{cases} \left\langle \Delta_{1,1}, \Delta_{1,1}, \Delta_{1,4} \right\rangle_{0,3} = 1/6 + q^6 + q^{18} + q^{24} + \cdots \\ \left\langle \Delta_{1,1}, \Delta_{1,2}, \Delta_{1,3} \right\rangle_{0,3} = 1/6 + q^{12} + \cdots \\ \left\langle \Delta_{1,1}, \Delta_{2,1}, \Delta_{3,1} \right\rangle_{0,3} = q + 2q^7 + 2q^{13} + 2q^{19} + \cdots \\ \left\langle \Delta_{1,1}, \Delta_{1,1}, \Delta_{2,2} \right\rangle_{0,3} = q^2 + q^8 + 2q^{14} + \cdots \\ \left\langle \Delta_{1,1}, \Delta_{1,2}, \Delta_{3,1} \right\rangle_{0,3} = q^3 + q^9 + 2q^{21} + \cdots \\ \left\langle \Delta_{1,1}, \Delta_{2,1}, \Delta_{1,3} \right\rangle_{0,3} = q^4 + q^{16} + 2q^{28} + \cdots \end{cases}$$

In each case the listed modular forms give a basis of the vector space of modular forms of weight 1. One can use these modular forms to construct an embedding of the modular curve $\mathbb{H}/\Gamma(N)$ in a projective space. The example of $\Gamma(4)$ is discussed in detail by Mumford [30]: the image is a quadric in $\mathbb{P}^2$. For $\Gamma(6)$ we obtain a curve in $\mathbb{P}^5$ satisfying 9 quadratic equations. We did not check the details, but the same exercise that was done in Mumford's book can be repeated in this case as well.

3.5. **FJRW theory of ISES.**

For any non-degenerate, quasi-homogeneous polynomial W, Fan–Jarvis–Ruan, following a suggestion of Witten, introduced a family of moduli spaces and constructed a virtual fundamental cycle. The latter gives rise to a cohomological field theory, which is now called the FJRW theory. In our paper, we make use of the FJRW theories associated with the invertible simple elliptic singularities, i.e., W is one of the polynomials in Table 1. Let us briefly review the FJRW theory only for such W and refer to [13] for the general case and more details.

Recall the *group of diagonal symmetries* G_W of the polynomial W is

$$G_W := \left\{ (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \in \mathbb{C}^3 \middle| W(\lambda_1 X_1, \lambda_2 X_2, \lambda_3 X_3) = W(X_1, X_2, X_3) \right\}.$$

The *FJRW state space* $\mathscr{H}_{W,G_W}$ (or $\mathscr{H}_W$ for short) is the direct sum of all G_W-invariant relative cohomology:

$$\mathscr{H}_W := \bigoplus_{h \in G_W} H_h, \quad H_h := H^*(\mathbb{C}_h; W_h^\infty; \mathbb{C})^{G_W}. \tag{31}$$

Here $\mathbb{C}_h (h \in G_W)$ is the h-invariant subspace of $\mathbb{C}^3$, W_h is the restriction of W to $\mathbb{C}_h$ and $\mathrm{Re} W_h$ is the real part of W_h, and $W_h^\infty = (\mathrm{Re} W_h)^{-1}(M, \infty)$, for some $M \gg 0$.

The vector space $H_h (h \in G_W)$ has a natural grading given by the degree of the relative cohomology classes. However, for the purposes of the FJRW theory we need a modification of the standard grading. Namely, for a given homogeneous element $\alpha \in H_h$ we define

$$\deg_W \alpha := \deg \alpha + \sum_{i=1}^{3} (\Theta_i^h - q_i),$$

where $\deg \alpha$ is the cohomology degree of α and the numbers $\Theta_i^h \in [0,1) \cap \mathbb{Q}$ are such that

$$h = \left(\mathbf{e}[\Theta_1^h], \mathbf{e}[\Theta_2^h], \mathbf{e}[\Theta_3^h] \right) \in (\mathbb{C}^*)^3,$$

where for $y \in \mathbb{R}$, we put $\mathbf{e}[y] := \exp(2\pi \sqrt{-1} y)$. Clearly the numbers Θ_i^h are uniquely determined from h. For any $\alpha \in H_h$, we define

$$\Theta(\alpha) := \left(\mathbf{e}[\Theta_1^h], \mathbf{e}[\Theta_2^h], \mathbf{e}[\Theta_3^h] \right). \tag{32}$$

The elements in H_h are called *narrow* (resp. *broad*) and H_h is called a *narrow sector* (resp. *broad sector*) if $\mathbb{C}_h = \{0\}$ (resp. $\mathbb{C}_h \neq \{0\}$). For invertible simple elliptic singularities, the space $H^*(\mathbb{C}_h; W_h^\infty; \mathbb{Q})$ is one-dimensional for all narrow sectors H_h. We always choose a generator $\alpha \in H_h$ such that

$$\alpha := 1 \in H^*(\mathbb{C}_h; W_h^\infty; \mathbb{Q}). \tag{33}$$

In general, in order to describe the broad sectors, we have to represent the relative cohomology classes by differential forms; then there is an identification

$$\left(\mathscr{H}_{W,G}, \langle\ ,\ \rangle \right) \equiv \left(\bigoplus_{h \in G} (\mathscr{Q}_{W_h} \omega_h)^G, \mathrm{Res} \right), \tag{34}$$

where ω_h is the restriction of the standard volume form to the fixed locus $\mathbb{C}_h$, Res is the residue pairing, and $\langle\ ,\ \rangle$ is a non-degenerate pairing induced from the intersection of relative homology cycles. There exists a basis of the narrow sectors such that the pairing $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$, $v_i \in H_{h_i}$, is 1 if $h_1 h_2 = 1$ and 0 otherwise. The vectors in the broad sectors are orthogonal to the vectors in the narrow sectors. In order to compute the pairing on the broad sectors one needs to use the identification (34) and compute an appropriate residue pairing. In our case however, we can express all invariants using narrow sectors only. So a more detailed description of the broad sectors is not needed. We refer to [13] for more details.

Let (W, G) be an admissible pair. A *W-spin structure* on a genus-g Riemann surface C with n marked orbifold points $(z_1, \ldots, z_n)$ is a collection of N (N is the number of variables in W) orbifold line bundles $\mathcal{L}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_N$ on C and isomorphisms

$$\psi_a : M_a(\mathcal{L}_1, \ldots, \mathcal{L}_N) \to \omega_C(-z_1 - \cdots - z_n),$$

where ω_C is the dualizing sheaf on C and M_a are the homogeneous monomials whose sum is W. The orbifold line bundles have a monodromy near each marked point z_i which determines an element $h_i \in G$. In particular, if H_{h_i} is a narrow (resp. broad) sector we say that the marked point is narrow (resp. broad). For fixed g, n, and $h_1, \ldots, h_n \in G$, Fan-Jarvis-Ruan (see [13]) constructed the compact moduli space $\mathscr{W}_{g,n}(h_1,\cdots,h_n)$ of nodal Riemann surfaces equipped with a W-spin structure. In this compactification the line bundles $(\mathcal{L}_1,\ldots,\mathcal{L}_N)$ are allowed to be orbifold at the nodes in such a way that the monodromy around each node is an element of G as well. The moduli space has a decomposition into a disjoint union of moduli subspaces $\mathscr{W}_{g,n}(\Gamma_{h_1,\ldots,h_n})$ consisting of W-spin structures on curves C whose dual graph is $\Gamma_{h_1,\ldots,h_n}$. Recall that the dual graph of a nodal curve C is a graph whose vertices are the irreducible components of C, edges are the nodes, and tails are the marked points. The latter are decorated by elements $h_i\in G$, so the tails of our graphs are also colored respectively. We omit the subscript $(h_1,\ldots,h_n)$ whenever the decoration is understood from the context. The connected component $\mathscr{W}_{g,n}(\Gamma_{h_1,\ldots,h_n})$ is naturally stratified by fixing the monodromy transformations around the nodes, i.e., the strata are in one-to-one correspondence with the colorings of the edges of the dual graph $\Gamma_{h_1,\cdots,h_n}$.

Fan–Jarvis–Ruan constructed a virtual fundamental cycle $[\mathscr{W}_{g,n}(\Gamma)]^{\mathrm{vir}}$ of $\mathscr{W}_{g,n}(\Gamma)$ (see [14]), which gives rise to a CohFT

$$\Lambda_{g,n}^{W,G}:(\mathscr{H}_{W,G})^{\otimes n}\longrightarrow H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}).$$

For brevity put $\Lambda_{g,n}^{W}$ for $\Lambda_{g,n}^{W,G_W}$. Let us list some general properties of the FJRW correlators of a simple elliptic singularity W, see [13] for the proofs.

- (Selection rule) If the correlator $\left\langle\tau_{k_1}(\alpha_1),\ldots,\tau_{k_n}(\alpha_n)\right\rangle_{g,n}^{W}$ is non-zero; then
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}\deg_W(\alpha_i)+\sum_{i=1}^{n}k_i=2g-2+n. \tag{35}$$
- (Line bundle criterion). If the moduli space $\mathscr{W}_{g,n}(h_1,\ldots,h_n)$ is non-empty, then the degree of the desingularized line bundle $|\mathcal{L}_j|$ is an integer, i.e.
$$\deg(|\mathcal{L}_j|)=q_j(2g-2+n)-\sum_{k=1}^{n}\Theta_j^{h_k}\in\mathbb{Z}. \tag{36}$$
- (Concavity) Suppose that all marked points are narrow, π is the morphism from the universal curve to $\mathscr{W}_{g,n}(h_1,\ldots,h_n)$ and $\pi_*\bigoplus_{i=1}^{3}\mathcal{L}_i=0$ holds; then
$$[\mathscr{W}_{g,n}(h_1,\ldots,h_n)]^{\mathrm{vir}}=c_{\mathrm{top}}\left(-R^1\pi_*\bigoplus_{i=1}^{3}\mathcal{L}_i\right)\cap[\mathscr{W}_{g,n}(h_1,\ldots,h_n)]. \tag{37}$$

Let $\alpha_i=1\in H_{h_i}$, $1\le i\le 4$ be the generators (cf. (33)). The concavity formula (37) implies that $\Lambda_{0,4}^{W}(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_4)\in H^*(\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{0,4},\mathbb{C})$. According to the orbifold Grothendieck-Riemann-Roch formula (see [8], Theorem 1.1.1) $\Lambda_{0,4}^{W}(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_4)$ is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\frac{B_2(q_i)}{2}\kappa_1-\sum_{j=1}^{4}\frac{B_2(\Theta_i^{h_j})}{2}\psi_j+\sum_{\Gamma\in\boldsymbol{\Gamma}_{0,4,W}(h_1,\ldots,h_4)}\frac{B_2(\Theta_i^{h_\Gamma})}{2}[\Gamma]\right), \tag{38}$$

where B_2 is the second Bernoulli polynomial

$$B_2(y) = y^2 - y + \frac{1}{6},$$

$[\Gamma]$ is the boundary class on $\overline{\mathcal{M}}_{g,n}$ corresponding to the graph Γ, and $\mathbf{\Gamma}_{0,4,W}(h_1, \ldots, h_4)$ is the set of graphs with one edge decorated by G_{W^T}. The graph Γ has 4 tails decorated by h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4 and its edge is decorated by h_Γ. Due to (36), the moduli space $\mathscr{W}_{0,4}(h_1, \ldots, h_4)$ is non-empty only if h_Γ satisfies an appropriate constraint involving $h_1, \ldots, h_4$. It is easy to see that the formula does not depend on the choice of h_Γ.

3.6. **Generators of the FJRW ring.** Let W^T be the Berglund-Hübsch-Krawitz mirror of W. From now on, we will consider W as an ISES in Table (1). We consider the FJRW theory for (W^T, G_{W^T}) and the Saito-Givental theory for W.

Since $\mathscr{H}_{W^T} := \mathscr{H}_{W^T, G_{W^T}}$ is the state space of a CohFT, it has a Frobenius algebra structure, where the multiplication $\bullet$ is defined as follows:

$$\left\langle \alpha_1 \bullet \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \right\rangle^{W^T} = \left\langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \right\rangle^{W^T}_{0,3}.$$

For ISES the product $\bullet$ (when $G = G_{W^T}$ is maximal) was computed by M. Krawitz (see [23]). More precisely, he constructed a basis of $\mathscr{H}_{W^T}$, which gives rise to an isomorphism between the Frobenius algebra $\mathscr{H}_{W^T}$ and the Milnor ring $\mathscr{Q}_W$.

Now we give an explicit description of the generators. For a general description of the ring morphism $\mathscr{H}_{W^T} \rightarrow \mathscr{Q}_W$, we refer the interested reader to [23]. Since some of our ISESs are equivalent to 2-variable singularities of chain type or of loop type, we also refer to [15] and [2] for those particular examples.

For every ISES W^T, there exists a vector $\mathbf{n} = (n_1, n_2, n_3) \in \mathbb{Z}^3$ such that

$$G_{W^T} \cong \mathbb{Z}/n_1\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n_2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/n_3\mathbb{Z}.$$

In particular, we can identify the vector $\mathbf{n}$ with the group G_{W^T}. We assume $n_1 \geq n_2 \geq n_3$ and omit those $n_i = 1$ in $\mathbf{n}$. For example, if $W^T = X_1^3 + X_1 X_2^4 + X_3^2$, then

$$G_{W^T} = \left\{ (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3) \middle| \lambda_1^3 = \lambda_1 \lambda_2^4 = \lambda_2^2 = 1 \right\} \cong (12, 2).$$

Let $h = (i, j, k) \in G_{W^T}$. If

$$1 \leq i < n_1, \quad 1 \leq j < n_2, \quad 1 \leq k < n_3,$$

then H_h is a one-dimensional space of narrow sectors. Let

$$\mathbf{e}_{i,j,k} := 1 \in H^0(\mathbb{C}_h; W_h^\infty; \mathbb{Q}).$$

Example 3.2. *We compute the FJRW ring for loop singularity W^T, with $W \in E_6^{(1,1)}$.*

$$W^T = X_1^2 X_3 + X_1 X_2^2 + X_2 X_3^2, \quad G_{W^T} = \left\{ \mathbf{e}_i = \left(\mathbf{e}[\frac{i}{9}], \mathbf{e}[\frac{4i}{9}], \mathbf{e}[-\frac{2i}{9}] \right), i = 1, \ldots, 8 \right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}/8\mathbb{Z}.$$

All nonzero 3-point genus-0 correlators are

$$\begin{cases} \left\langle \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 \right\rangle_{0,3} = \left\langle \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_4 \right\rangle_{0,3} = \left\langle \mathbf{e}_7, \mathbf{e}_7, \mathbf{e}_7 \right\rangle_{0,3} = -2; \\ \left\langle \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_i, \mathbf{e}_{9-i} \right\rangle_{0,3} = \left\langle \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_7 \right\rangle_{0,3} = 1. \end{cases}$$

The first row uses Index Zero Axiom (see [13]*) and the second row uses Concavity Axiom* (37)*. It is easy to see* $\mathbf{e}_3$ *is the identity element and the ring relations are*

$$2\,\mathbf{e}_1 \bullet \mathbf{e}_4 + \mathbf{e}_7^2 = 2\,\mathbf{e}_4 \bullet \mathbf{e}_7 + \mathbf{e}_1^2 = 2\,\mathbf{e}_7 \bullet \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_4^2 = 0.$$

Thus we obtain a ring isomorphism between $\mathscr{H}_{W^T}$ *and* $\mathscr{Q}_W$*:*

$$\rho_1 = \mathbf{e}_4 \mapsto X_1, \quad \rho_2 = \mathbf{e}_1 \mapsto X_2, \quad \rho_3 = \mathbf{e}_7 \mapsto X_3.$$

For all 13 types of ISESs with a maximal admissible group, there is a unique narrow sector ρ_{-1}, with $\deg_{W^T}(\rho_{-1}) = 1$ and

$$\Theta(\rho_{-1}) := \left(1 - q_1^T, 1 - q_2^T, 1 - q_3^T\right).$$

There are 13 types of ISESs, but only 9 of them do not have broad generators. The narrow sectors have the advantage that we can use the powerful concavity axiom (37). Combined with the remaining properties of the correlators and the WDVV equations this allows us to reconstruct all genus-0 FJRW invariants. According to the reconstruction theorem in [25], we can also reconstruct the higher genus FJRW invariants, i.e., the total ancestor potential function $\mathscr{A}_{FJRW}^{W^T}$.

In the remaining 4 cases, we can offset the complication of having broad generators only for $W^T = X_1^2 + X_1 X_2^2 + X_2 X_3^3$. The maximal abelian group is of order 12. Its FJRW vector space has eight generators:

$$\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_3, \mathbf{e}_5, \mathbf{e}_7, \mathbf{e}_9, \mathbf{e}_{11}, R_4, R_8.$$

Here R_4 and R_8 are the cohomology classes represented by the following forms:

$$R_h = dX_1 \wedge dX_2 \in H^2(\mathbb{C}_h; W_h^\infty; \mathbb{Q}), \quad h = 4, 8 \in G_{W^T}.$$

Note that R_4 and R_8 are G_{W^T}-invariant elements in $\mathscr{Q}_{W_h}\omega_h$ where $h \in G_{W^T}$ acts on each factor X_i, such that dX_i is a divisor of ω_h, as multiplication by $\mathbf{e}[q_i^T]$. Although one of the generators (R_4) is broad, we have enough WDVV equations to reconstruct the correlators containing broad sectors from correlators with only narrow sectors and apply the concavity axioms.

For the other three types of ISESs, we can still compute some genus-0 4-point correlators with broad sectors, but we could not reconstruct the complete theory only from correlators with narrow insertions. In other words, for 10 out of the 13 ISESs we can compute all FJRW invariants. These cases and the corresponding generators ρ_i of the FJRW ring $\mathscr{H}_{W^T, G_{W^T}}$ are listed in Table 3 below.

Table 3. Generators of the FJRW ring in the case $\left(E_6^{(1,1)}\right)^T$

W^T	G_{W^T}	$\Theta(\mathbf{e}_{i,j,k})$	ρ_1	ρ_2	ρ_3	ρ_{-1}
$X_1^3+X_2^3+X_3^3$	$(3,3,3)$	$\mathbf{e}[\frac{i}{3}],\mathbf{e}[\frac{j}{3}],\mathbf{e}[\frac{k}{3}]$	$\mathbf{e}_{2,1,1}$	$\mathbf{e}_{1,2,1}$	$\mathbf{e}_{1,1,2}$	$\mathbf{e}_{2,2,2}=\rho_1\rho_2\rho_3$
$X_1^2X_3+X_1X_2^2+X_2X_3^2$	8	$\mathbf{e}[\frac{i}{9}],\mathbf{e}[\frac{4i}{9}],\mathbf{e}[-\frac{2i}{9}]$	$\mathbf{e}_4$	$\mathbf{e}_1$	$\mathbf{e}_7$	$\mathbf{e}_6=\rho_1\rho_2\rho_3$
$X_1^2+X_1X_2^2+X_2X_3^3$	12	$\mathbf{e}[\frac{i}{2}],\mathbf{e}[-\frac{i}{4}],\mathbf{e}[\frac{i}{12}]$	R_4	$\mathbf{e}_1$	$\mathbf{e}_7$	$\mathbf{e}_9=\rho_2\rho_3^2$

Table 4. Generators of the FJRW ring in the case $\left(E_7^{(1,1)}\right)^T$

W^T	G_{W^T}	$\Theta(\mathbf{e}_{i,j,k})$	ρ_1	ρ_2	ρ_{-1}
$X_1^4+X_2^4+X_3^2$	$(4,4,2)$	$\mathbf{e}[\frac{i}{4}],\mathbf{e}[\frac{j}{4}],\mathbf{e}[\frac{1}{2}]$	$\mathbf{e}_{2,1}$	$\mathbf{e}_{1,2}$	$\mathbf{e}_{2,2}=\rho_1^2\rho_2^2$
$X_1^3X_2+X_1X_2^3+X_3^2$	$(8,2)$	$\mathbf{e}[-\frac{3i}{8}],\mathbf{e}[\frac{i}{8}],\mathbf{e}[\frac{1}{2}]$	$\mathbf{e}_1$	$\mathbf{e}_5$	$\mathbf{e}_6=\rho_1^2\rho_2^2$
$X_1^3+X_1X_2^4+X_3^2$	$(12,2)$	$\mathbf{e}[\frac{-i}{3}],\mathbf{e}[\frac{i}{12}],\mathbf{e}[\frac{1}{2}]$	$\mathbf{e}_1$	$\mathbf{e}_5$	$\mathbf{e}_{10}=\rho_1\rho_2^3$
$X_1^3+X_1X_2^2+X_2X_3^2$	12	$\mathbf{e}[\frac{i}{3}],\mathbf{e}[-\frac{i}{6}],\mathbf{e}[\frac{i}{12}]$	$\mathbf{e}_5$	$\mathbf{e}_1$	$\mathbf{e}_8=-\frac{1}{2}\rho_1^3\rho_2$

Table 5. Generators of the FJRW ring in the case $\left(E_8^{1,1}\right)^T$

W^T	G_{W^T}	$\Theta(\mathbf{e}_{i,j,k})$	ρ_1	ρ_2	ρ_{-1}
$X_1^6+X_2^3+X_3^2$	$(6,3,2)$	$\mathbf{e}[\frac{i}{6}],\mathbf{e}[\frac{j}{3}],\mathbf{e}[\frac{1}{2}]$	$\mathbf{e}_{2,1}$	$\mathbf{e}_{1,2}$	$\mathbf{e}_{5,2}=\rho_1^4\rho_2$
$X_1^3+X_1X_2^2+X_3^3$	$(6,3)$	$\mathbf{e}[\frac{-i}{3}],\mathbf{e}[\frac{i}{6}],\mathbf{e}[\frac{j}{3}]$	$\mathbf{e}_{1,1}$	$\mathbf{e}_{2,2}$	$\mathbf{e}_{4,2}=\rho_1\rho_2\rho_3$
$X_1^4+X_1X_2^3+X_3^2$	$(12,2)$	$\mathbf{e}[\frac{-i}{4}],\mathbf{e}[\frac{i}{12}],\mathbf{e}[\frac{1}{2}]$	$\mathbf{e}_2$	$\mathbf{e}_7$	$\mathbf{e}_9=\rho_1^2\rho_2^2$

3.7. **Classification of ring structures.** For any special limit in the Saito-Givental theory for invertible simple elliptic singularities, the first step of the classification is the ring structure. Let us first focus on special limits at $\sigma=0$. According to Saito [33] simple elliptic singularities are classified by their Milnor number and the elliptic curve at infinity. It follows that the Milnor rings of the ISES with 3 variables can be classified into 6 isomorphism classes parametrized by the pairs consisting of the Milnor number $\mu=\dim\mathcal{Q}_W$ and the j-invariant of $E_{\sigma=0}$:

(1) $(\mu,j)=(8,0): W=X_1^3+X_2^3+X_3^3, X_1^2X_2+X_2^3+X_3^3, X_1^2X_2+X_1X_2^2+X_3^3, X_1^2X_2+X_2^2X_3+X_1X_3^2$.
(2) $(\mu,j)=(8,1728): W=X_1^2X_2+X_2^2X_3+X_3^3$.
(3) $(\mu,j)=(9,0): W=X_1^3X_2+X_2^4+X_3^2, X_1^3X_2+X_2^2X_3+X_3^2$.

(4) $(\mu, j) = (9, 1728) : W = X_1^4 + X_2^4 + X_3^2, X_1^2X_2 + X_2^2 + X_3^4, X_1^3X_2 + X_1X_2^3 + X_3^2.$
(5) $(\mu, j) = (10, 0) : W = X_1^6 + X_2^3 + X_3^2, X_1^3X_2 + X_2^2 + X_3^3.$
(6) $(\mu, j) = (10, 1728) : W = X_1^4X_2 + X_2^3 + X_3^2.$

For any two polynomials in the same list, it is easy to find a linear map between the generators X_1, X_2, X_3 of the corresponding Milnor rings, such that it induces a ring isomorphism. Let us point out that the choice of such linear maps is not unique in general. Moreover, we can always adjust some constants such that the ring isomorphism will be extended to an isomorphism of Frobeniu manifold, as well as an isomorphism of the corresponding ancestor total potential. See the discussions in Section 3.9.

3.8. **Reconstruction of all genera FJRW invariants.**

3.8.1. *Review of the reconstruction.* For an ISES W^T, its total ancestor potential $\mathscr{A}^{W^T}$ can be reconstructed from genus-0 primary correlators. This technique is already used in [25] for three special examples of ISESs. As the reconstruction procedures used there only require tautological relations on cohomology of moduli spaces of curves and the FJRW ring structure, we can easily generalize to all other examples. We sketch the general procedures here and refer to [25] for readers who are interested in more details. There are three steps.

First, we express the correlators of genus at least 2 and the correlators with descendant insertions in terms of correlators of genus-0 or genus-1 with non-descendant insertions (called *primary correlators*). This step is based on a tautological relation which splits a polynomial of ψ-classes and κ-classes with higher degree to a linear combination of products of boundary classes and polynomials of ψ-classes and κ-classes of lower degrees. This is called g-reduction. The reason why g-reduction works in our case is that the Selection rule imposes a constraint on the degree of the polynomials involving ψ- and κ- classes (see Theorem 6.2.1 in [13]). In general, for an arbitrary CohFT this argument fails and one has to use other methods (e.g. Teleman's reconstruction theorem).

Next, we reconstruct the non-vanishing genus-1 primary correlators from genus 0 primary correlators using Getzler's relation. The latter is a relation in $H^4(\mathcal{M}_{1,4})$, which gives identities involving the FJRW corrletors with genus 0 and 1. In order to obtain the desired reconstruction identity, i.e., to express genus-1 in terms of genus-0 correlators, one has to make an appropriate choice of the insertions corresponding to the 4 marked points in $\mathcal{M}_{1,4}$ (see Theorem 3.9 in [25]).

Finally, to reconstruct the genus-0 correlators we use the WDVV equations. We say that a homogeneous element $\alpha \in \mathscr{H}_{W^T}$ is *primitive* if it cannot be decomposed as a product $a' \bullet a''$ of two elements a' and a'' of non-zero degrees. We also say that a genus-0 correlator is a *basic correlator* if there are at most two non-primitive insertions, neither of which is the identity. We use the WDVV equation to rewrite a primary genus-0 correlator which contains several non-primitive insertions to correlators with fewer non-primitive insertions and correlators with a fewer number of marked points. Again the Selection rule should be taken into account in order to obtain a bound for the number of marked points. It turns out that all correlators are determined by the basic correlators with at most four marked points (see Lemma 3.7 in [25]).

Lemma 3.3. *For an invertible simple elliptic singularity W^T the total ancestor FJRW potential of (W^T, G_{W^T}) is reconstructed from the pairing, the FJRW ring structure constants and the* 4*-point basic correlators with one of the insertions being a top degree element.*

3.8.2. *The 4-point genus-0 FJRW invariants.* Let $\Xi(\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3)$ be a degree 1 monomial with leading coefficient 1. For simplicity, we denote by $\langle \Xi, \rho_{-1}\rangle_{0,4}^{W^T}$ a basic correlator such that the first three insertions give a factorization of Ξ. For example, let $\Xi(\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3) = \rho_1^2\rho_2^2$; then the notation $\langle \Xi, \rho_{-1}\rangle_{0,4}^{W^T}$ represents any of the following choices of correlators:

$$\left\langle \rho_1, \rho_1, \rho_2^2, \rho_{-1}\right\rangle_{0,4}^{W^T}, \left\langle \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_1\rho_2, \rho_{-1}\right\rangle_{0,4}^{W^T}, \left\langle \rho_2, \rho_2, \rho_1^2, \rho_{-1}\right\rangle_{0,4}^{W^T}.$$

The WDVV equations guarantee that $\langle \Xi, \rho_{-1}\rangle_{0,4}^{W^T}$ does not depend on the choices of the factorization.

Lemma 3.4. *Let W^T be an ISES; then all FJRW correlators for (W^T, G_{W^T}) can be reconstructed from the FJRW algebra, and the basic 4-point FJRW correlators $\langle \Xi, \rho_{-1}\rangle_{0,4}^{W^T}$. Furthermore, if W^T is an ISES as in Tables 3, 4, or 5, then*

$$\left\langle \Xi(\rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_3), \rho_{-1}\right\rangle_{0,4}^{W^T} = \begin{cases} q_i^T & \text{if } \Xi = M_i, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{39}$$

where M_i are the homogeneous monomials such that $W = M_1 + M_2 + M_3$.

Proof. In [25] Theorem 3.4, it was proved for three special simple elliptic singularities $W^T = X_1^3 + X_2^3 + X_3^3, X_1^3 + X_1X_2^2 + X_2X_3^2$ and $X_1^3 + X_1X_2^2 + X_3^3$, their FJRW correlators with symmetry group G_{W^T} can be reconstructed from their FJRW algebra and some basic 4-point correlators. We apply the same method to all cases of simple elliptic singularities here. Finally, using WDVV equations in each case, it is again not hard to verify all 4-point basic correlators without insertion ρ_{-1} can be reconstructed too.

For the second part of the lemma, we use WDVV and concavity to compute FJRW correlators. We show the argument works for singularities of Fermat type and of loop type. Other cases are similar. For a Fermat type singularity, put $M_i = X_i^{1/q_i^T}$, since all insertions are narrow, we apply the Concavity Axiom (38) to compute

$$\left\langle \rho_i, \rho_i, \rho_i^{1/q_i^T - 2}, \rho_{-1}\right\rangle_{0,4}^{W^T}. \tag{40}$$

Note that $\deg \mathscr{L}_i = -2$ and the degree shifting numbers are $(2q_i^T, 2q_i^T, 1-q_i^T, 1-q_i^T)$, thus the dual graphs will have $\Theta_\Gamma = 0, 0, 1-3q_i^T$. The correlator (40) becomes

$$\frac{1}{2}\Big(B_2(q_i^T) + B_2(1-3q_i^T) + 2B_2(0) - 2B_2(q_i^T) - 2B_2(1-q_i^T)\Big) = q_i^T.$$

For loop type, $W^T = X_1^2X_3 + X_1X_2^2 + X_2X_3^2$. Let us compute $\Big\langle \rho_1, \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_{-1}\Big\rangle_{0,4}^{W^T}$, which is not concave. However, the Concavity Axiom (38) implies

$$\Big\langle \mathbf{e}_2, \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_7, \mathbf{e}_2\Big\rangle_{0,4}^{W^T} = -\frac{2}{9}.$$

On the other hand, WDVV equations show

$$\begin{cases} \Big\langle \mathbf{e}_1 \bullet \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_7, \mathbf{e}_2\Big\rangle_{0,4}^{W^T} + \Big\langle \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_7 \bullet \mathbf{e}_2\Big\rangle_{0,4}^{W^T} = \Big\langle \mathbf{e}_7 \bullet \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_2\Big\rangle_{0,4}^{W^T}; \\ \Big\langle \mathbf{e}_4 \bullet \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_7, \mathbf{e}_2\Big\rangle_{0,4}^{W^T} + \Big\langle \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_7 \bullet \mathbf{e}_2\Big\rangle_{0,4}^{W^T} = \Big\langle \mathbf{e}_4 \bullet \mathbf{e}_7, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_2\Big\rangle_{0,4}^{W^T}; \end{cases}$$

We observe up to symmetry, $\Big\langle \mathbf{e}_5, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_7, \mathbf{e}_2\Big\rangle_{0,4}^{W^T} = \Big\langle \mathbf{e}_8, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_2\Big\rangle_{0,4}^{W^T}$. Recall the ring relations in Example 3.2, we obtain

$$\Big\langle \rho_1, \rho_1, \rho_2, \rho_{-1}\Big\rangle_{0,4}^{W^T} = \Big\langle \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_4, \mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_6\Big\rangle_{0,4}^{W^T} = \frac{1}{3}. \quad \square$$

3.9. **Classification of FJRW theory.**

Lemma 3.5. *If W_1 and W_2 belong to the same equivalence class, then there exists a linear isomorphism $\Psi : \mathscr{H}_{W_1^T} \to \mathscr{H}_{W_2^T}$ that induces an isomorphism of the FJRW rings and the corresponding 4-point basic correlators with a degree 1 insertion. According to the reconstruction lemma 3.3, all higher genus FJRW correlators of (W_1, G_{W_1}) and (W_2, G_{W_2}) are identified under this ring isomorphism.*

Proof. In order to prove the lemma we will construct explicitly a linear isomorphisms Ψ inducing the ring isomorphisms; then one has to check that they also preserve the corresponding 4-point basic correlators with a degree 1 insertion.

For simplicity, we add a superscript on the ring generators for each singularity. The superscripts f, c or l mean that the singularity is respectively of Fermat, chain, or loop type. A superscript with two entries means it is a sum of two different types. Let us take the first and the last FJRW rings in the case of $(\mu, j) = (8, 0)$ as an example. We choose a linear transformation,

$$\Psi\begin{pmatrix} \rho_1^f/\lambda_1 \\ \rho_2^f/\lambda_2 \\ \rho_3^f/\lambda_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \mathbf{e}[\frac{1}{3}] & \mathbf{e}[\frac{2}{3}] \\ 1 & \mathbf{e}[\frac{2}{3}] & \mathbf{e}[\frac{1}{3}] \end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} \rho_1^{lf} \\ \rho_2^{lf} \\ \rho_3^{lf} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The parameters $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3$ could be chosen to be arbitrary non-zero complex numbers. The relations in the FJRW rings do not depend on the choice. However, we have to choose λ_i in such a way that the basic 4-point correlators agree, i.e.,

$$\lambda_1^4\lambda_2\lambda_3 = \mathbf{e}[\frac{1}{3}]\,\lambda_1\lambda_2^4\lambda_3 = \mathbf{e}[\frac{2}{3}]\,\lambda_1\lambda_2\lambda_3^4 = -\frac{1}{81}. \tag{41}$$

We notice that

$$\rho_{-1}^f = \rho_1^f \bullet \rho_2^f \bullet \rho_3^f, \quad \rho_{-1}^l = \rho_1^l \bullet \rho_2^l \bullet \rho_3^l.$$

Now one can check that

$$\left\langle \Psi(\rho_1^f), \Psi(\rho_1^f), \Psi(\rho_1^f), \Psi(\rho_{-1}^f) \right\rangle_{0,4} = -81\lambda_1^4\lambda_2\lambda_3 \left\langle \rho_1^l, \rho_1^l, \rho_1^l, \rho_{-1}^l \right\rangle_{0,4} = \frac{1}{3}.$$

We check all the nonzero 4-point genus-0 correlators listed in Lemma 3.4 and obtain the other two identities in (41).

The other cases are similar. Let us list the corresponding linear transformations which preserve FJRW correlators of all genera. We are only interested in those singularities listed in Table 3, 4, 5.

- $(\mu, j) = (9, 0)$,

$$\Psi\begin{pmatrix} \rho_1^c \\ \rho_2^c \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \\ & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1^{cf} \\ \rho_2^{cf} \end{pmatrix}. \quad -2\lambda_1^4\lambda_2^4 = 8\lambda_1\lambda_2^7 = 1.$$

- $(\mu, j) = (9, 1)$,

$$\Psi\begin{pmatrix} \rho_1^f \\ \rho_2^f \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \lambda_1 \\ -\lambda_2 & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1^{lf} \\ \rho_2^{lf} \end{pmatrix}, \quad -64\lambda_1^6\lambda_2^2 = 64\lambda_1^2\lambda_2^6 = 1.$$

- $(\mu, j) = (10, 0)$,

$$\Psi\begin{pmatrix} \rho_1^f \\ \rho_2^f \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & \\ & \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \rho_1^{cf} \\ \rho_2^{cf} \end{pmatrix}, \quad 8\lambda_1^{10}\lambda_2 = -2\lambda_1^4\lambda_2^4 = 1. \quad \square$$

In particular, we have proved part a) of Theorem 1.5.

Remark 3.6. *In next three sections, we can compute all basic 4-point genus-0 correlators in Saito-Givental theory for ISESs. Thus we can also apply the same method here to identify two special limit points of Saito-Givental theory, if they have isomorphic ring structures. This gives a complete classification for the special limit points. All the ring structures are listed in Section 3.7, except those special limit points with* $j(\sigma) = \infty$*. The ring structure at those points should be isomorphic to Chen-Ruan cohomology ring of elliptic orbifold* $\mathbb{P}^1$*, see Section 5 and Section 6. Let us also point out here that every special limit point has a geometric mirror.*

4. MIRROR SYMMETRY AT $\sigma = 0$.

Our goal is to prove Theorem 1.1, a). According to the reconstruction results in FJRW theory (see Lemma 3.4) we need to compute certain 3- and 4- point genus-0 correlators in Saito's theory and compare them to the ones in the corresponding FJRW theory.

4.1. **The Saito–Givental CohFT.**

The higher-genus Saito–Givental CohFT is in general defined only at semisimple points $\mathbf{s} \in S$, because the asymptotic operator $R(\mathbf{s}, z)$ (see Section 2.4.1) has singularities along the *caustic* $\mathcal{K} \subset \mathcal{S}$ consisting of non-semisimple $\mathbf{s}$. However, the genus-0 part of the Saito–Givental CohFT is well defined for all $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}$. The logic of our argument is the following: we identify first the genus-0 CohFTs; then we use that the higher-genus reconstruction of the correlation functions (see Section 3.8) works for both theories. There are two conclusions from this. First, the FJRW total ancestor potential is convergent at all semisimple $\mathbf{s} \in \mathcal{S}$, such that $\sigma = s_{\mu-1}$ is sufficiently close to 0 and it coincides with the Saito–Givental ancestor potential $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{s}}(\hbar; \mathbf{q})$ (see (20)). The second conclusion is that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{s}}(\hbar; \mathbf{q})$ extends holomorphically through the caustic $\mathcal{K}$.

There is an alternative way to proceed provided that we know that the genus-0 CohFTs are the same. It is based on Teleman's classification of semisimple CohFTs [36]. We refer to Section 5 in [28] for more details. The advantage of this approach is that one can prove a stronger result. Namely, the higher-genus Saito–Givental CohFT (not only its ancestor potential) extends through the caustic $\mathcal{K}$.

The details in the proofs of the above statements can be found in Lemma 3.2 in [27] for the case of ancestor potentials only and in Proposition 5.5 in [28] for the CohFTs. Let us point out that, in general, it is expected that the Saito–Givental CohFT extends through the caustic for all singularities, but this is still an open problem.

4.2. **B-model 3-point genus-0 correlators.**

We continue to use the same notation as in Section 2.5. Namely, let $W = M_1 + M_2 + M_3$ be an ISES with a miniversal deformation given by a monomial $\phi_{\mathbf{m}}(\mathbf{x})$, $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, m_2, m_3)$. We choose a primitive form $\omega = d^3\mathbf{x}/\pi(\sigma)$ in a neighborhood of $\sigma = 0$, such that $\pi(\sigma)$ is the solution to the Picard-Fuchs equation (13) satisfying the initial conditions $\pi(0) = c, \pi'(0) = 0$, where the constant c is such that the residue pairing (see (4)) satisfies

$$\langle 1, \phi_m \rangle|_{\mathbf{s}=0} = 1\,.$$

Let $\{t_{\mathbf{r}}\}$ be the flat coordinate system, such that $t_{\mathbf{r}}(0) = 0$ and the flat vector fields $\partial_{\mathbf{r}} := \partial/\partial t_{\mathbf{r}}$ agree with $\partial/\partial s_{\mathbf{r}}$ at $\mathbf{s} = 0$.

The primitive form induces an isomorphism between the tangent and the vanishing cohomology bundle via the following period mapping:

$$\partial/\partial t_{\mathbf{r}} \mapsto -\nabla^{-1}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial\lambda}} \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial t_{\mathbf{r}}}} \int \frac{\omega}{dF} = \int \delta_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{s}, \mathbf{x}) \, \frac{\omega}{dF}, \tag{42}$$

where $\delta_{\mathbf{r}}$ is some homogeneous polynomial (in $\mathbf{x}$) of degree $\deg(\phi_{\mathbf{r}})$. Note that the Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism takes the form

$$\partial/\partial t_{\mathbf{r}} \mapsto \delta_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{x}) \bmod (F_{X_1}, F_{X_2}, F_{X_3}). \tag{43}$$

By definition, the restriction of the 3-point correlators to the marginal deformations subspace is

$$\left\langle \delta_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \delta_{\mathbf{r}_2}, \delta_{\mathbf{r}_3} \right\rangle_{0,3} = \operatorname{Res} \frac{\delta_{r_1}(\sigma,\mathbf{x})\delta_{r_2}(\sigma,\mathbf{x})\delta_{r_3}(\sigma,\mathbf{x})}{(\partial_{X_1} W_\sigma)\,(\partial_{X_2} W_\sigma)(\partial_{X_3} W_\sigma)} \frac{d^3\mathbf{x}}{\pi(\sigma)^2}. \tag{44}$$

Note that the 3-point correlator depends only on the product $\Xi := \delta_{\mathbf{r}_1}\delta_{\mathbf{r}_2}\delta_{\mathbf{r}_3}$. Therefore we can simply use the notation $\langle \Xi \rangle_{0,3}$ instead. Finally, definition (44) makes sense even if we replace $\delta_{\mathbf{r}}$, $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{r}_1, \mathbf{r}_2, \mathbf{r}_3$ by arbitrary polynomials, not only the ones that correspond to flat vector fields via (43).

4.3. **B-model 4-point genus-0 correlators.** Let $\mathscr{F}_0^{SG}$ be the genus-0 generating functions for the Frobenius manifold of miniversal deformations near the origin. By definition,

$$\left\langle \delta_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \dots, \delta_{\mathbf{r}_n} \right\rangle_{0,n}^{SG} = \frac{\partial^n \mathscr{F}_0^{SG}}{\partial t_{\mathbf{r}_1} \dots \partial t_{\mathbf{r}_n}}\Big|_{\mathbf{t}=0}.$$

Thus, using that $\partial/\partial\sigma = \delta_m$ at $\sigma = 0$, we get

$$\left\langle \delta_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \delta_{\mathbf{r}_2}, \delta_{\mathbf{r}_3}, \delta_{\mathbf{m}} \right\rangle_{0,4}^{SG} = \partial_\sigma \left\langle \delta_{\mathbf{r}_1}, \delta_{\mathbf{r}_2}, \delta_{\mathbf{r}_3} \right\rangle \Big|_{\sigma=0}. \tag{45}$$

In order to compute 4-point correlators of the form (45) it is enough to determine $\delta_{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma,\mathbf{x})$ up to linear terms in σ. To begin with, we notice that $\phi_{\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{m}}$ lies in the Jacobian ideal of W_σ. More precisely, the following Lemma holds.

Lemma 4.1. *There are polynomials $g_{\mathbf{r},i} \in \mathbb{C}[\sigma, X_1, X_2, X_3]$ such that*

$$(1 - C\sigma^l)\, \phi_{\mathbf{r}+\mathbf{m}} = \sum_{i=1}^{3} g_{\mathbf{r},i}\, \partial_i W_\sigma.$$

This Lemma can be proved in all cases by using Saito's higher residue pairing. However, in what follows, we need an explicit formula for

$$g_{\mathbf{r}} := (g_{\mathbf{r},1}, g_{\mathbf{r},2}, g_{\mathbf{r},3})\,.$$

Therefore we verified the lemma on a case-by-case basis. Some of our computations will be given below. The remaining cases are completely analogous.

There are several corollaries of Lemma 4.1. First of all, note that under the period map (42) the Gauss–Manin connection takes the form (8) (with $z \equiv -\partial_\lambda^{-1}$. It follows that if $\deg(\phi_{\mathbf{r}})$ is not integral, then the restriction of the section (42) of the vanishing cohomology bundle to the marginal deformation subspace must be flat, i.e., the sections

$$[\delta_{\mathbf{r}}\, \omega](\sigma) := \int \delta_{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma,\mathbf{x}) \frac{\omega}{dW_\sigma}, \quad \deg(\phi_{\mathbf{r}}) \notin \mathbb{Z} \tag{46}$$

are independent of σ. Furthermore, using formulas (23) for the Gauss-Manin connection we get

$$(1 - C\sigma^l)\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}\Phi_{\mathbf{r}} = -\int \sum_{i=1}^{3} \partial_i\, g_{\mathbf{r},i}\, \frac{d^3\mathbf{x}}{dW_\sigma}$$

Both sides must have the same degree, i.e.,

$$(1 - C\sigma^l)\frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}\Phi_{\mathbf{r}} = \sum_{\mathbf{r}'} c_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}(\sigma)\Phi_{\mathbf{r}'}, \tag{47}$$

where the sum is over all $\mathbf{r}'$, such that $\deg\phi_{\mathbf{r}} = \deg\phi_{\mathbf{r}'}$ and $c_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}(\sigma) \in \mathbb{C}[\sigma]$ are some polynomials.

Lemma 4.2. *Suppose* $\deg(\phi_{\mathbf{r}}) \notin \mathbb{Z}$*; then we have*

$$\delta_{\mathbf{r}} = \phi_{\mathbf{r}} - \sigma \sum_{\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}' \neq \mathbf{r}} c_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}(0)\, \phi_{\mathbf{r}'} + O(\sigma^2), \tag{48}$$

where $O(\sigma^2)$ *denotes terms that have order of vanishing at* $\sigma = 0$ *at least 2.*

Proof. Follows easily from (47). We omit the details. □

Let $M \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}]$ be a weight-1 monomial with leading coefficient 1. Our next goal is to evaluate the following auxiliar expression:

$$\langle M, \phi_m\rangle_{0,4} := \partial_\sigma\langle M\rangle_{0,3}\Big|_{\sigma=0}.$$

Lemma 4.3. *The number* $\langle M, \phi_m\rangle_{0,4}$ *is non-zero iff* $M = M_i$ *for some* $i = 1, 2, 3$. *In the latter cases the numbers are given as follows*

$$\Big(\langle M_1, \phi_m\rangle_{0,4}, \langle M_2, \phi_m\rangle_{0,4}, \langle M_3, \phi_m\rangle_{0,4}\Big) = -(m_1, m_2, m_3)E_W^{-1}. \tag{49}$$

Proof. For the second part, we apply the operators $X_i\,\partial_{X_i}$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, to the identity

$$M_1 + M_2 + M_3 = W_\sigma - \sigma\phi_m(\mathbf{x})$$

and take the residue. We get

$$\langle M_1\rangle_{0,3}\, a_{1i} + \langle M_2\rangle_{0,3}\, a_{2i} + \langle M_3\rangle_{0,3}\, a_{3i} = -\sigma\, m_i\, \langle\phi_m\rangle_{0,3}.$$

It remains only to differentiate with respect to σ and set $\sigma = 0$.

For the first part, because M is a weight-1 monomial with coefficient 1, we can use the relations in the Milnor ring of W_σ to rewrite M as a product of ϕ_m and a function of σ. Let us write $M = h(\sigma)\,\phi_m$. For example, in the Fermat $E_6^{(1,1)}$ case,

$$X_1^3 = -3\sigma\phi_{111}; \quad (1 + \frac{\sigma^3}{27})\, X_1^2 X_2 = 0.$$

If $M \neq M_i$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, then $h(\sigma)$ either does not vanish at $\sigma = 0$ or vanishes at $\sigma = 0$ with order at least 2. In both cases, $\langle M, \phi_m\rangle_{0,4}$ vanish. □

Now we are ready to compute the 4-point correlators that are needed for the reconstruction of the CohFT. Let $\delta_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{s},x)$, $\mathbf{r}=\mathbf{r}_1,\mathbf{r}_2,\mathbf{r}_3$ be polynomials corresponding to the flat vector fields $\partial/\partial t_{\mathbf{r}}$ via the Kodaira–Spencer isomorphism (43). Put

$$\Xi(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{x})=\delta_{\mathbf{r}_1}(\mathbf{s},x)\delta_{\mathbf{r}_2}(\mathbf{s},x)\delta_{\mathbf{r}_3}(\mathbf{s},x).$$

Note that $\Xi(0,\mathbf{x})$ is a homogeneous monomial (see (48)) with leading coefficient 1.

Lemma 4.4. *The* 4*-point genus-*0 *correlators with a top degree insertion* δ_m *are*

$$\left\langle\delta_{\mathbf{r}_1},\delta_{\mathbf{r}_2},\delta_{\mathbf{r}_3},\delta_m\right\rangle_{0,4}^{SG}=\begin{cases}-q_i^T & \text{, if } \Xi(0,\mathbf{x})=M_i,\\ 0 & \text{, otherwise.}\end{cases}$$

Proof. The same argument as in the proof of the first part of Lemma 4.3 also works for $\Xi(\sigma,\mathbf{x})$. Thus if $\Xi\neq M_i$, i=1,2,3, we have

$$\left\langle\Xi,\delta_m\right\rangle_{0,4}^{SG}=0.$$

In order to finish the proof we need only to compute the correlators when $\Xi(0,\mathbf{x})=M_i$ for some $i=1,2,3$. Note that the diagonal entries of the matrix E_W are always at least 2 (see Table 1). Therefore, it is enough to compute the following correlators:

$$\begin{cases}\left\langle\delta_{100},\delta_{100},\delta_{\mathbf{r}},\delta_m\right\rangle_{0,4}^{SG}, & \mathbf{r}=(a_{11}-2,a_{12},a_{13}),\\ \left\langle\delta_{010},\delta_{010},\delta_{\mathbf{r}},\delta_m\right\rangle_{0,4}^{SG}, & \mathbf{r}=(a_{21},a_{22}-2,a_{23}),\\ \left\langle\delta_{001},\delta_{001},\delta_{\mathbf{r}},\delta_m\right\rangle_{0,4}^{SG}, & \mathbf{r}=(a_{31},a_{32},a_{33}-2).\end{cases}$$

We do not have a uniform computation since we need to use Lemma 4.2, for which the coefficients $c_{\mathbf{r},\mathbf{r}'}(0)$ can be computed only on a case-by-case basis. Let us sketch the main steps of the computation in several examples, leaving the details and the remaining cases to the reader. We will make use of the notation

$$\delta(\sigma,\mathbf{x})\approx\phi(\sigma,\mathbf{x}),\quad \delta,\phi\in\mathbb{C}[\mathbf{x}],$$

which means first order approximation at $\sigma=0$, i.e., $\delta(\sigma,\mathbf{x})-\phi(\sigma,\mathbf{x})=O(\sigma^2)$.

Case 1: $W=X_1^3+X_2^3+X_3^3\in E_6^{(1,1)}$ and $\phi_m=X_1X_2X_3$. Since W is symmetric in X_1,X_2,X_3 it is enough to compute only one of the correlators, say $\Xi=M_1$. After a straightforward computation (the notation is the same as in Lemma 4.1) we get

$$g_{100}=\Big(\frac{1}{3}\,\phi_{011},-\frac{\sigma}{9}\,\phi_{002},\frac{\sigma^2}{27}\,\phi_{101}\Big).$$

It follows that $\delta_{100}\approx\phi_{100}$ and then using formula (49) we get

$$\left\langle\delta_{100},\delta_{100},\delta_{100},\delta_m\right\rangle_{0,4}^{SG}=-\frac{1}{3}.$$

Case 2: $W = X_1^4 + X_2^4 + X_3^2 \in E_7^{(1,1)}$ and $\phi_m = X_1^2 X_2^2$. In this case $M_3 = 0$ in the Milnor ring of W and W is symmetric in X_1 and X_2. It is enough to compute only one of the correlators, say the one with $\Xi(0,\mathbf{x}) = M_1$. We have

$$g_{100} = \Big(\frac{1}{4}\,\phi_{020}, -\frac{\sigma}{8}\,\phi_{110}, 0\Big).$$

It follows that

$$\delta_{100} \approx \phi_{100}, \quad \delta_{200} \approx \phi_{200} + \frac{\sigma}{4}\phi_{020}.$$

Using formula (49) we find

$$\Big\langle \delta_{100}, \delta_{100}, \delta_{400}, \delta_m \Big\rangle_{0,4}^{SG} = -\frac{1}{4}.$$

Case 3: $W = X_1^3 X_2 + X_2^2 + X_3^3 \in E_8^{(1,1)}$ and $\phi_m = X_1 X_2 X_3$. In this case, since $M_2 = 0$ in the Milnor ring, we need to compute two correlators. We have

$$\begin{pmatrix} g_{100} \\ g_{010} \\ g_{001} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{3}\phi_{001} - \frac{\sigma^2}{54}\phi_{200} & \frac{\sigma^2}{18}\phi_{110} & -\frac{\sigma}{9}\phi_{010} \\ -\frac{1}{6}\phi_{201} + \frac{\sigma^2}{27}\phi_{110} & \frac{1}{2}\phi_{111} & -\frac{\sigma^2}{9}\phi_{210} \\ -\frac{\sigma}{9}\phi_{010} - \frac{\sigma^2}{54}\phi_{101} & \frac{\sigma^2}{9}\phi_{011} & \frac{1}{3}\phi_{110} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It follows that we have the following linear approximations:

$$\delta_{100} \approx \Phi_{100}, \quad \delta_{001} \approx \Phi_{001}, \quad \delta_{110} \approx \Phi_{110}.$$

The correlators then become

$$\Big\langle \delta_{100}, \delta_{100}, \delta_{110}, \delta_m \Big\rangle_{0,4}^{SG} = -\frac{1}{3}, \quad \Big\langle \delta_{001}, \delta_{001}, \delta_{001}, \delta_m \Big\rangle_{0,4}^{SG} = -\frac{1}{3}$$

Case 4: The Fermat type $E_8^{(1,1)}$, i.e. $W = X_1^6 + X_2^3 + X_3^2$ and $\phi_m = X_1^4 X_2$. In this case $M_3 = 0$, so again we have to compute two correlators. We have

$$g_{100} = \Big(\frac{1}{6}\phi_{020} + \frac{\sigma^2}{27}\phi_{200}, -\frac{2\sigma}{9}\phi_{300}, 0\Big), \quad g_{010} = \Big(-\frac{\sigma}{18}\phi_{300} + \frac{\sigma^2}{27}\phi_{110}, \frac{1}{3}\phi_{400}, 0\Big).$$

It follows that the first order approximations that we need are

$$\delta_{100} \approx \Phi_{100}, \quad \delta_{010} \approx \Phi_{010}, \quad \delta_{400} \approx \Phi_{400} + \frac{\sigma}{2}\Phi_{210}.$$

Formulas (49) and (45) imply

$$\Big\langle \delta_{100}, \delta_{100}, \delta_{400}, \delta_m \Big\rangle_{0,4}^{SG} = -\frac{1}{6}; \quad \Big\langle \delta_{010}, \delta_{010}, \delta_{010}, \delta_m \Big\rangle_{0,4}^{SG} = -\frac{1}{3}. \quad \square$$

Comparing the 4-point correlators in Lemmas 3.4 and 4.4 we see that they have opposite signs. It is not hard to see that if we rescale the primitive form by (−1); then the 3-point correlators in the Saito–Givental theory do not change, while the

4-point ones change their sign. Therefore, we rescale the primitive form by -1 and define the map

$$\mathscr{H}_{W^T} \to T^*\mathcal{S}_W, \quad \rho_{\mathbf{r}} \mapsto (-1)^{1-\deg \phi_{\mathbf{r}}}\delta_{\mathbf{r}}, \quad \mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2, r_3),$$

where $\rho_{\mathbf{r}} = \rho_1^{r_1}\rho_2^{r_2}\rho_3^{r_3}$. Lemmas 3.4 and 4.4 imply that the above map is a mirror symmetry map, i.e., it identifies the correlators of the FJRW theory of (W^T, G_{W^T}) and the correlators of the Saito-Givental theory of W. Theorem 1.1, a) is proved.

5. Mirror symmetry at $\sigma = p_k$.

The goal in this section is to prove Theorem 1.1, b). It is enough to prove the Theorem only for one of the points $p_k = C^{-1/l}\eta^k$, $1 \le k \le l$. The remaining cases follow easily due to the $\mathbb{Z}/l\mathbb{Z}$-symmetry of Σ. According to the reconstruction Lemma 3.1 we need to construct an appropriate *mirror map*, i.e., an isomorphism between the Chen–Ruan orbifold cohomology ring and the Milnor ring $\mathscr{Q}_W$, such that after choosing an appropriate primitive form the Poincaré pairing is identified with the residue pairing and the 3-point correlator (see Lemma 3.1) is the same for both the GW and the Saito–Givental CohFTs.

We do not have an explicit universal formula for the mirror map that applies to all cases. We have an algorithm that can be used on a case-by-case basis. Our first goal is to describe the algorithm and then apply it in several cases. The remaining cases can be done in a similar way.

5.1. **Construction of the mirror map.** The primitive form is chosen to be $\omega = d^3\mathbf{x}/\pi_A(\sigma)$, where the cycle $A \in H_1(E_\sigma)$ is invariant with respect to the local monodromy around $\sigma = p_k$. Recall that $\pi_A(\sigma)$ is a solution to the Picard–Fuchs equation (2.1). The latter has near $\sigma = p_k$ a basis of solutions $\{F_1^{(1)}(x), F_2^{(2)}(x)\}$ given by formula (71). The invariance of A implies that

$$\pi_A(\sigma) = \lambda_W\, F_1^{(1)}(x) \tag{50}$$

for some scalar $\lambda_W \in \mathbb{C}^*$. We choose a second cycle $B \in H_1(E_\sigma)$, such that

$$\tau := \frac{\pi_B(\sigma)}{\pi_A(\sigma)}$$

is the modulus of the elliptic curve E_σ; then we have

$$\pi_B(\sigma) = \frac{K\lambda_W}{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}\left(F_2^{(1)}(x) + K' \cdot F_1^{(1)}(x)\right), \tag{51}$$

where K, K' are constants whose values are given as follows (the computation is given in Section 7.1, Lemma 7.1). The j-invariant of E_σ has the form (63) for some polynomial $P(\sigma)$ and some integer N. Then

$$K = N, \quad K' = -\frac{1}{N}\ \ln\, P(p_k).$$

Note that

$$\Big\langle 1, \phi_{-1} \Big\rangle_A = \frac{1}{K''(1 - C\,\sigma^l)\,\pi_A^2}, \tag{52}$$

where K'' is some constant and the index A on the LHS indicates that we are computing the residue pairing (4) with respect to the primitive form ω. Since the residue pairing must be identified with the Poincaré pairing, the mirror map should satisfy

$$\Delta_{01} \mapsto 1, \quad \Delta_{02} \mapsto K''(1 - C\,\sigma^l)\phi_{-1}(\mathbf{x})\pi_A^2. \tag{53}$$

The next step is to identify the divisor coordinate t_{02} in the orbifold GW theory and the modulus τ. We define

$$t_{02} = t_{-1} := \frac{2\pi\sqrt{-1}}{L}\tau, \tag{54}$$

where $L = 3, 4, 6$ respectively for the elliptic orbifolds $\mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3}, \mathbb{P}^1_{4,4,2}, \mathbb{P}^1_{6,3,2}$.

Since

$$1 = \Big\langle \Delta_{01}, \Delta_{02} \Big\rangle = \Big\langle 1, \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{-1}} \Big\rangle = \frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial\tau}\frac{\partial\tau}{\partial t_{-1}}\Big\langle 1, \frac{\partial}{\partial\sigma}\Big\rangle_A = \frac{\partial\sigma}{\partial\tau}\frac{\partial\tau}{\partial t_{-1}}\Big\langle 1, \phi_{-1}\Big\rangle_A,$$

we get

$$\frac{1}{K''(1 - C\,\sigma^l)\,\pi_A^2} = (2\pi\sqrt{-1}/L)\,\frac{\partial\tau}{\partial\sigma} = (2\pi\sqrt{-1}/L)\,\frac{\pi_B'\,\pi_A - \pi_B\,\pi_A}{\pi_A^2}.$$

Note that $\pi_B'\,\pi_A - \pi_B\,\pi_A'$ is the Wronskian of the Picard–Fuchs equation (2.1), so it must be proportional to $(1 - C\,\sigma^l)^{-1}$. The proportionality coefficient can be found by comparing the Laurent series expansions at $\sigma = p_k$. Namely, it is $-\frac{N}{2\pi i}\,\lambda_W^2 l\, C^{1/l}\eta^k$. This determines the value of λ_W^2,

$$\lambda_w^2 = -\frac{L}{NK''lC^{1/l}\eta^k}. \tag{55}$$

The most delicate part of constructing the mirror map is finished. In order to complete the construction, we need to identify the twisted cohomology classes Δ_{ij} with monomials $\delta_{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma, \mathbf{x})$. The key observation is that the sections

$$\int \delta_{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma, \mathbf{x})\,\frac{\omega}{dW_\sigma} \tag{56}$$

of the vanishing cohomology bundle of W_σ are flat with respect to the Gauss–Manin connection. This way our choice of $\delta_{\mathbf{r}}$ depends on an invertible matrix of size $(\mu-2)\times(\mu-2)$. The correlation functions in the Saito–Givental CohFT are invariant with respect to the translation $t_{-1} \mapsto t_{-1} + 2\pi\sqrt{-1}$, i.e., we can expand the correlation functions into Fourier series in $q := e^{t_{-1}}$. The coefficient in front of q^d, $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, is called the *degree-d* part of the correlator function. By taking the degree-0 part of the 3-point functions, we obtain a Frobenius algebra structure on the Milnor ring $\mathscr{Q}_W$ that under the mirror map should be identified with the Frobenius algebra corresponding to the Chen–Ruan orbifold (classical) cup product. Using also that the mirror map preserves homogeneity we obtain a system of equations for the matrix. It remains only to see that these equations have a solution. Let us list the explicit formulas for the mirror map for several examples. We omit the details of the computations, which by the way are best done with the help of some computer software (e.g. Mathematica or Maple).

5.2. **Large complex structure limit point for** $W_\sigma = X_1^3 + X_2^3 + X_3^3 + \sigma X_1X_2X_3$**.** For this example $x = -\sigma^3/27$, i.e., $C = -1/27$ and $l = 3$. The j-invariant is

$$j(\sigma) = -\frac{\sigma^3(-216+\sigma^3)^3}{(27+\sigma^3)^3} = \frac{-27x(8+x)^3}{(1-x)^3}.$$

We have $p_l = -3$ and

$$K = N = 3, \quad \Delta_{02} = 27(1-x)X_1X_2X_3\pi_A^2,$$

which implies that $\lambda_W = \pm 1$. We pick $\lambda_W = 1$.

The Fourier series of $1-x$ in $q = e^{2\pi i\tau/3}$ is

$$1 - x = -27q - 324q^2 - 2430q^3 - 13716q^4 + \cdots \tag{57}$$

Let

$$\mathbf{r} = (1,0,0), (0,1,0), (0,0,1); \quad \mathbf{r}' = (1,1,1) - \mathbf{r}.$$

A natural basis for the flat sections with non-integral degrees are

$$\delta_{\mathbf{r}} = (1-x)^{1/3}\phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{x})\,\pi_A, \quad \delta_{\mathbf{r}'} = (1-x)^{2/3}\phi_{\mathbf{r}'}(\mathbf{x})\,\pi_A.$$

Applying (44), we know the non-vanishing correlators $\left\langle \cdots \right\rangle_{0,3,0}$ are

$$\left\langle 1, \delta_{\mathbf{r}}, \delta_{\mathbf{r}'} \right\rangle_{0,3,0} = \left\langle \delta_{\mathbf{r}}, \delta_{\mathbf{r}}, \delta_{\mathbf{r}} \right\rangle_{0,3,0} = \left\langle \delta_{1,0,0}, \delta_{0,1,0}, \delta_{0,0,1} \right\rangle_{0,3,0} = \frac{1}{27}.$$

The mirror map is given by (53), (54), and it identifies the ring generators as follows:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{11} \\ \Delta_{21} \\ \Delta_{31} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & \mathbf{e}[\frac{2}{3}] & \mathbf{e}[\frac{1}{3}] \\ 1 & \mathbf{e}[\frac{1}{3}] & \mathbf{e}[\frac{2}{3}] \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{1,0,0} \\ \delta_{0,1,0} \\ \delta_{0,0,1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that this identification agrees with the Chen-Ruan orbifold cohomology ring of $\mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3}$ (see Section 3.4). For example, we have

$$\left\langle \Delta_{11}, \Delta_{11}, \Delta_{11} \right\rangle_{0,3,0} = \sum_{\mathbf{r};\deg\phi_{\mathbf{r}}=1/3} \left\langle \delta_{\mathbf{r}}, \delta_{\mathbf{r}}, \delta_{\mathbf{r}} \right\rangle_{0,3,0} + 6\left\langle \delta_{1,0,0}, \delta_{0,1,0}, \delta_{0,0,1} \right\rangle_{0,3,0} = \frac{1}{3}.$$

Now we need only to check that

$$\left\langle \Delta_{11}, \Delta_{21}, \Delta_{31} \right\rangle_{0,3,1} = 1.$$

After a straightforward computation we get

$$\left\langle \Delta_{11}, \Delta_{21}, \Delta_{31} \right\rangle_{0,3} = \frac{(-\sigma - 3)\pi_A}{27} = \frac{(1-(1-x))^{1/3} - 1}{9}\pi_A = q + q^4 + 2q^7 + \cdots$$

5.3. **Large complex structure limit point for $W_\sigma = X_1^4 + X_2^4 + X_3^2 + \sigma X_1^2 X_2^2$.** We substitute $x = \sigma^2/4$, i.e., $l = 2$ and $C = 1/4$. The j-invariant is

$$j(\sigma) = \frac{16(12+\sigma^2)^3}{(4-\sigma^2)^2} = \frac{64(3+x)^3}{(1-x)^2}.$$

We have $p_2 = 2$ and

$$K = N = 2, \quad \Delta_{02} = 16(1-x)\, X_1^2 X_2^2\, \pi_A^2.$$

It follows that $\lambda_W = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{4}$. The Fourier series for $1 - x$ in terms of $q = e^{2\pi i \tau/4}$ is

$$1 - x = 64q^2 - 1536q^4 + 19200q^6 + \cdots .$$

Let us construct a basis of flat sections. First, note that the periods

$$\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}, \quad \mathbf{r} = (10, 01, 11, 21, 12)$$

still satisfy first order differential equations and that the corresponding flat sections will be

$$\delta_{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma, \mathbf{x}) = (x-1)^{\deg \phi_{\mathbf{r}}} \phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{x})\, \pi_A.$$

However, both Φ_{20} and Φ_{02} satisfy a second order hypergeometric equation with parameters respectively $\alpha_{20} = \alpha_{02} = 3/4, \beta_{20} = \beta_{02} = 1/4, \gamma_{20} = \gamma_{02} = 1/2$. Namely, the periods satisfy the following system:

$$\left| \begin{aligned} &(4-\sigma^2)\, \partial_\sigma \Phi_{20}(\sigma) = \frac{\sigma}{2}\, \Phi_{20}(\sigma) - \Phi_{02}(\sigma); \\ &(4-\sigma^2)\, \partial_\sigma \Phi_{02}(\sigma) = \frac{\sigma}{2}\, \Phi_{02}(\sigma) - \Phi_{20}(\sigma). \end{aligned} \right. \tag{58}$$

It follows that $\Phi_{02} = L\, \Phi_{20}$ (and $\Phi_{20} = L\, \Phi_{02}$) where L is the differential operator

$$L = -(4-\sigma^2)\partial_\sigma + \frac{\sigma}{2},$$

which lead to second order differential equations. Let us denote by $\left\{F^{(1)}_{1,\mathbf{r}}, F^{(1)}_{2,\mathbf{r}}\right\}$ the basis of solutions (71) of the hypergeometric equations near $x = 1$ for the weights $(\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}, \beta_{\mathbf{r}}, \gamma_{\mathbf{r}})$. Thus we can obtain a pair of polynomials δ_{20} and δ_{02} that determine flat sections (56) by solving the following system:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \phi_{20}\, \pi_A \\ \phi_{02}\, \pi_A \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c_{20,1}\, F^{(1)}_{1,20} & c_{20,2}\, F^{(1)}_{2,20} \\ L(c_{20,1}\, F^{(1)}_{1,20}) & L(c_{20,2}\, F^{(1)}_{2,20}) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{20} \\ \delta_{02} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{59}$$

Since Φ_{02} satisfies a hypergeometric equation as well (with the same parameters), we can choose constants $c_{20,i}$, $i = 1, 2$, s.t.,

$$\Big(L(c_{20,1}\, F^{(1)}_{1,20}), L(c_{20,1}\, F^{(1)}_{2,20})\Big) = \Big(c_{02,1}\, F^{(1)}_{1,02}, c_{02,2}\, F^{(1)}_{2,02}\Big).$$

For example, we choose

$$c_{20,1} = \sqrt{2}/8, \quad c_{20,2} = \sqrt{-1}/2, \quad c_{02,1} = \sqrt{2}/8, \quad c_{02,2} = -\sqrt{-1}/2.$$

The mirror map can be chose in the following form: $\Delta_{3,1} = \delta_{20}$ and

$$\begin{pmatrix}\Delta_{1,1}\\ \Delta_{2,1}\end{pmatrix}\oplus\begin{pmatrix}\Delta_{1,2}\\ \Delta_{2,2}\end{pmatrix}=\begin{pmatrix}1 & \sqrt{-1}\\ 1 & -\sqrt{-1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}c_{10}\,\delta_{10}\\ c_{01}\,\delta_{01}\end{pmatrix}\oplus\begin{pmatrix}-4\sqrt{-1} & 2\sqrt{-1}\\ -4\sqrt{-1} & -2\sqrt{-1}\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix}c_{11}\,\delta_{11}\\ \delta_{02}\end{pmatrix},$$

where the constants

$$c_{10} = c_{01} = 2^{-1/4}, \quad c_{11} = 1.$$

This identification induces an isomorphism of Frobenius algebras and for the 3-point, degree-1 correlator which is needed in the reconstruction Lemma 3.1 we get

$$\begin{aligned}\Big\langle \Delta_{11}, \Delta_{21}, \Delta_{31}\Big\rangle_{0,3} &= \frac{(1-x)^{1/2}\, F^{(1)}_{1,20}\, \pi_A}{8\lambda_W}\\ &= \frac{1}{8}(1-x)^{1/2}\ {}_2F_1\,(1/4, 3/4; 3/2; 1-x)\ \ {}_2F_1\,(1/4, 1/4; 1; 1-x)\,.\end{aligned}$$

The Fourier series of the correlator has the form $q + 2q^5 + q^9 + 2q^{13} + \cdots$, which in particular implies that the degree-1 part is 1.

5.4. **Large complex structure limit point for** $W_\sigma = X_1^3X_2 + X_2^2 + X_3^3 + \sigma X_1X_2X_3$**.** In this example, we substitute $x = -\frac{\sigma^3}{27}$, i.e., $l = 3$ and $C = -1/27$. The j-invariant is

$$j(\sigma) = -\frac{\sigma^3(24+\sigma^3)^3}{27+\sigma^3} = \frac{27x(8-9x)^3}{1-x}.$$

It is convenient to construct the mirror map when σ is near the point $p_1 = -3\eta$. We have

$$K = N = 1, \quad \Delta_{02} = 18(1-x)X_1X_2X_3\pi_A^2.$$

It follows that $\lambda_W = \frac{\sqrt{-3}}{3\eta}$. The Fourier series of $1-x$ in terms of $q = e^{2\pi i\tau/6}$ is

$$1 - x = -27q^6 - 324q^{12} - 2430q^{18} - 13716q^{24} + \cdots \tag{60}$$

Note that the largest dimension of a subspace of homogeneous flat sections is 2. Let us assume that $\{\phi_{\mathbf{r}}, \phi_{\mathbf{r}'}\}$ form a basis of the homogeneous subspace of the Milnor ring of weight $\deg\phi_r = \deg\phi_{\mathbf{r}'}$. The period integral $\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}(\sigma)$ is a solution to a hypergeometric equation with weights $\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}, \beta_{\mathbf{r}}, \gamma_{\mathbf{r}}$ (see Section 8.3.1 in the Appendix). We choose a new basis of sections of the form (56) with polynomials defined by

$$(\delta_{\mathbf{r}}, \delta_{\mathbf{r}'}) = (\phi_{\mathbf{r}}\, \pi_A, \phi_{\mathbf{r}'}\, \pi_A) \begin{pmatrix} c_{\mathbf{r},1} F^{(1)}_{1,\mathbf{r}}(x) & c_{\mathbf{r}',1} F^{(1)}_{1,\mathbf{r}'}(x) \\ c_{\mathbf{r},2} F^{(1)}_{2,\mathbf{r}}(x) & c_{\mathbf{r}',2} F^{(1)}_{2,\mathbf{r}'}(x) \end{pmatrix}^{-1}, \tag{61}$$

where $c_{\mathbf{r},i}, c_{\mathbf{r}',i} (i = 1, 2)$ are some constants and

$$\left\{ F^{(1)}_{1,\mathbf{r}}(x) := F^{(1)}_1(x), F^{(1)}_{2,\mathbf{r}}(x) := F^{(1)}_2(x) \right\}$$

is the basis of solutions (72) to the hypergeometric equation with weights $(\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}, \beta_{\mathbf{r}}, \gamma_{\mathbf{r}})$. If $\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}$ satisfies a first order differential equation, we set

$$F^{(1)}_1(x) = 0, \quad F^{(1)}_2(x) = {}_1F_0\,(\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}; 1 - x)$$

and use the same formula in order to define $\delta_{\mathbf{r}}$. We choose $c_{\mathbf{r},i}$ such that

Table 6.

$\mathbf{r} =$	100	200	001	101	010	201	110	011
$c_{\mathbf{r},1} =$	0	$\frac{\sqrt{-3}\eta}{3}$	0	$\frac{2\sqrt{-1}\eta}{9}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{-1}\eta^2}{9}$	$\frac{\sqrt{-1}\eta^2}{3}$	0	0
$c_{\mathbf{r},2} =$	$\sqrt{3}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{3}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{-1}}{3}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{3}\eta}{3}$	$-\frac{\sqrt{3}\eta^2}{3}$	$\frac{\sqrt{-3}}{3}$	$\frac{\sqrt{-3}}{3}$	$\sqrt{3}$

Now we can construct a map as follows:

$$\Delta_{11} = c_{100,2}(1 - x)^{1/6}\Phi_{100}; \quad \Delta_{15} = c_{011,2}(1 - x)^{5/6}\Phi_{011};$$

and

$$(\Delta_{21}, \Delta_{12}, \Delta_{31}, \Delta_{13}, \Delta_{22}, \Delta_{14}) = (\delta_{200}, \delta_{001}, \delta_{101}, \delta_{010}, \delta_{201}, \delta_{110})\,.$$

This is actually a mirror map. It preserves the Chen-Ruan product and

$$\left\langle \Delta_{1,1}, \Delta_{2,1}, \Delta_{3,1} \right\rangle_{0,3} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\,(1 - x)^{1/6}\ {}_2F_1\,(1/3, -1/6; 1/2; 1 - x)\,\pi_A = q + 2q^7 + \cdots\,.$$

5.5. **Large complex structure limit point for $W_\sigma = X_1^6 + X_2^3 + X_3^2 + \sigma X_1^4 X_2$.** We set $x = -\frac{4\sigma^3}{27}$, i.e., $l = 3$ and $C = -4/27$. The j-invariant is

$$j(\sigma) = \frac{4\sigma^3}{27 + 4\sigma^3} = \frac{-x}{1 - x}.$$

In this case it is more convenient to construct the mirror map near $\sigma = p_2 = -2^{-2/3}3\eta^2$. We have

$$K = N = 1, \quad \Delta_{02} = 36(1 - x)X_1^4 X_2 \pi_A^2.$$

It follows that $\lambda_W = \frac{\sqrt{-3}}{2^{5/6}3\eta^2}$. The mirror map can be constructed as follows:

$$\Delta_{11} = c_{10,2}(1-x)^{1/6}\phi_{10}; \quad \Delta_{15} = c_{31,2}(1-x)^{5/6}\phi_{31};$$

and

$$(\Delta_{21}, \Delta_{12}, \Delta_{31}, \Delta_{13}, \Delta_{22}, \Delta_{14}) = (\delta_{01}, \delta_{20}, \delta_{11}, \delta_{30}, \delta_{21}, \delta_{40})\,.$$

Here $\delta_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{r} = 01, 20, 11, 30, 21, 40)$ have the same form as in (61). After a straightforward computation we get a mirror map that identifies the ring structures by setting

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{11} &= \delta_{10} = -2^{2/3}3^{1/2}(1-x)^{1/6}\,\phi_{10}\,\pi_A,\\
\Delta_{21} &= \delta_{01} = -(-2)^{5/6}3^{1/2}(1-x)^{1/3}\Big(F^{(1)}_{2,20}\,\phi_{01} + (-2)^{-1/3}\,F^{(1)}_{2,01}\,\phi_{20}\Big)\pi_A,\\
\Delta_{12} &= \delta_{20} = (-1)^{1/2}2^{-7/6}3^{1/2}(1-x)^{1/3}\Big(F^{(1)}_{1,20}\,\phi_{01} - 3(-2)^{-1/3}\,F^{(1)}_{1,01}\,\phi_{20}\Big)\pi_A,\\
\Delta_{31} &= \delta_{11} = (-1)^{1/6}2^{2/3}3(1-x)^{1/2}\Big(F^{(1)}_{2,30}\,\phi_{11} + (-2)^{-1/3}\,F^{(1)}_{2,11}\,\phi_{30}\Big)\pi_A,\\
\Delta_{13} &= \delta_{30} = (-2)^{2/3}3^{-1/2}(1-x)^{1/2}\Big(F^{(1)}_{1,20}\,\phi_{11} - 2(-2)^{-1/3}\,F^{(1)}_{1,11}\,\phi_{30}\Big)\pi_A,\\
\Delta_{22} &= \delta_{21} = (-1)^{1/2}2^{3/2}3^{3/2}(1-x)^{2/3}\Big(F^{(1)}_{2,40}\,\phi_{21} + (-2)^{-1/3}\,F^{(1)}_{2,21}\,\phi_{40}\Big)\pi_A,\\
\Delta_{14} &= \delta_{40} = (-1)^{5/6}2^{-5/2}3^{3/2}(1-x)^{2/3}\Big(F^{(1)}_{1,40}\,\phi_{21} - \frac{5}{3}(-2)^{-1/3}\,F^{(1)}_{1,21}\,\phi_{40}\Big)\pi_A,\\
\Delta_{15} &= \delta_{31} = -2^{1/3}3^{1/2}(1-x)^{5/6}\,\phi_{31}\,\pi_A.
\end{aligned}$$

For the 3-point correlator we get

$$\Big\langle \Delta_{1,1}, \Delta_{2,1}, \Delta_{3,1}\Big\rangle_{0,3} = q + 2q^7 + \cdots\,,$$

which means that the above identification is a mirror map.

6. Mirror symmetry at $\sigma = \infty$.

In this section, we describe the limit behavior of the Saito-Givental theory of ISESs at $\sigma = \infty$. Similar results were already obtained in [27] for three special choice of an ISES W (with marginal deformation $\phi_{\mathbf{m}} = X_1X_2X_3$):

$$X_1^3 + X_2^3 + X_3^3 \in E_6^{(1,1)}, \quad X_1^3X_2 + X_2^2X_3 + X_3^2 \in E_7^{(1,1)}, \quad X_1^3X_2 + X_2^2 + X_3^3 \in E_8^{(1,1)}.$$

Namely, it was proved that the Saito-Givental theory at $\sigma = \infty$ is mirror to the orbifold Gromov-Witten theory respectively of $\mathbb{P}^1_{3,3,3}, \mathbb{P}^1_{4,4,2}$ and $\mathbb{P}^1_{6,3,2}$. It turns out that if W is a Fermat polynomial of type $E_8^{(1,1)}$; then the mirror of the Saito–Givental theory is no longer an orbifold GW theory, but an FJRW theory. This agrees with the physicists' prediction that the monodromy of the Gauss–Manin connection around the large volume limit point should be maximally unipotent, while as we will see below, the monodromy around $\sigma = \infty$ is diagonalizable

The complete answer to the question what kind of theory is mirror to the Saito–Givental theory at $\sigma = \infty$ for all ISESs is stated in Theorem 1.5. The proof is on a case-by-case basis using the same technique as in Sections 4 and 5, i.e., we compute the initial set of 3- and 4-points genus-0 correlators in the Saito–Givental theory and match them with the corresponding correlators in the orbifold GW or FJRW theories. We will sketch the main steps of the argument on one example and leave the details and the other cases to the reader.

6.1. **The case of Fermat type $E_8^{(1,1)}$.** Let $W(\sigma) := X_1^6 + X_2^3 + X_3^2 + \sigma X_1^4 X_2$. As usual we substitute $x = -\frac{4}{27}\sigma^3$. The j-invariant is

$$j(\sigma) = \frac{4\sigma^3}{27 + 4\sigma^3} = \frac{-x}{1-x}.$$

The Picard-Fuchs equation for the periods π_A has weights $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) = (1/12, 7/12, 2/3)$. Since $\alpha - \beta$ is not an integer, the monodromy is diagonalizable and we have the following basis of solutions (eigenvectors for the monodromy around $\sigma = \infty$) near $x = \infty$:

$$\pi_{A_\infty} := x^{-1/12}\ {}_2F_1\Big(\frac{1}{12}, \frac{5}{12}; \frac{1}{2}; x^{-1}\Big), \quad \pi_{B_\infty} := \lambda_W\ x^{-7/12}\ {}_2F_1\Big(\frac{7}{12}, \frac{11}{12}; \frac{3}{2}; x^{-1}\Big),$$

where the constant λ_W will be fixed later on. Put

$$t_{-1} = \pi_{B_\infty}/\pi_{A_\infty} \approx \lambda_W\ x^{-\frac{1}{2}},$$

where $\approx$ means that we truncated terms of order $O(\sigma^2)$. It is easy to check (by using the differential equation for the periods) that when restricted to the subspace of marginal deformation, t_{-1} is a degree 0 flat coordinate, i.e., the residue pairing $\langle 1, \partial/\partial t_{-1}\rangle$ is a constant.

To construct the mirror map for non-integral degrees, we have to find a basis of homogeneous flat sections (with non-integer degrees) of the Gauss–Manin connection near $\sigma = \infty$. The periods corresponding to the polynomials ϕ_{01} and ϕ_{20} satisfy

$$\left|\begin{aligned} (27 + 4\sigma^3)\partial_\sigma\, \Phi_{20} &= -3\sigma^2\, \Phi_{20} - (9/2)\Phi_{01} \\ (27 + 4\sigma^3)\partial_\sigma\, \Phi_{01} &= -\sigma^2\, \Phi_{01} + (9\sigma/2)\Phi_{20} \end{aligned}\right.$$

Moreover, as we already know (see Section 2.5) Φ_{01} satisfies a hypergeometric equation. The corresponding weights are $(\alpha_{01}, \beta_{01}, \gamma_{01}) = (1/12, 7/12, 1/3)$. Let

$$L_{20} := \frac{2}{9\sigma}\Big((27 + 4\sigma^4)\partial_\sigma \Phi_{01} + \sigma^2 \Phi_{01}\Big).$$

Then the solutions to the above system have the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{01} \\ \Phi_{20} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F^{(\infty)}_{1,01}(x) & F^{(\infty)}_{2,01}(x) \\ L_{20}F^{(\infty)}_{1,01}(x) & L_{20}F^{(\infty)}_{2,01}(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{01} \\ A_{20} \end{pmatrix}$$

Solving for A_{01} and A_{20} we obtain two flat sections of degree $\frac{1}{3}$. The remaining flat sections can be found in a similar way. We get

$$A_{10} = (x-1)^{1/6}\Phi_{10}, \quad A_{31} = (x-1)^{5/6}\Phi_{31}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} \Phi_{k,1} \\ \Phi_{k+2,0} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} F^{(\infty)}_{1,(k,1)}(x) & F^{(\infty)}_{2,(k,1)}(x) \\ L_{k+2,0}F^{(\infty)}_{1,(k,1)}(x) & L_{k+2,0}F^{(\infty)}_{2,(k,1)}(x) \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{k,1} \\ A_{k+2,0} \end{pmatrix}, \quad k = 0,1,2$$

where

$$L_{30} = \frac{1}{6\sigma}\Big((27+4\sigma^4)\partial_\sigma\Phi_{11} + 2\sigma^2\Phi_{11}\Big), \quad L_{40} = \frac{2}{15\sigma}\Big((27+4\sigma^4)\partial_\sigma\Phi_{21} + 3\sigma^2\Phi_{21}\Big)$$

and the weights $(\alpha_{k,1}, \beta_{k,1}, \gamma_{k,1})$ can be found in the Appendix (see Section 8.3.1).

Let $\delta_{\mathbf{r}}(\mathbf{s},\mathbf{x})$ be polynomials, such that the geometric sections (see (46)) $[\delta_{\mathbf{r}}\omega] = c_{\mathbf{r}}A_{\mathbf{r}}$, where $c_{\mathbf{r}}$ are given in the table below and the constants appearing in the table

$\mathbf{r} =$	10	01	20	11	30	21	40	31
$c_{\mathbf{r}}$	λ_1	λ_2	$-\frac{\lambda_1^2 C_0}{3}$	$\lambda_1\lambda_2$	$-\lambda_1^3 C_0$	$\lambda_1\lambda_2^2$	$\frac{4\lambda_1^4 C_0}{5}$	$\frac{2\lambda_1^5 C_0}{9}$

are given as follows:

$$\lambda_1^6 = 24C_0^2, \quad \lambda_2^2 = \frac{\lambda_1^4}{C_0}, \quad C_0^3 = -\frac{27}{4}. \tag{62}$$

Now we compute the pairing and the necessary genus-0 correlators. The pairing is

$$\Big\langle \delta_{10}, \delta_{31} \Big\rangle = \Big\langle \delta_{01}, \delta_{21} \Big\rangle = \Big\langle \delta_{20}, \delta_{40} \Big\rangle = \Big\langle \delta_{11}, \delta_{11} \Big\rangle = \Big\langle \delta_{30}, \delta_{30} \Big\rangle = 1.$$

All 3-point correlator functions that do not have insertion 1 (otherwise the correlator reduces to a 2-point one) have a limit at $\sigma = \infty$. The non-zero limits are as follows:

$$\Big\langle \delta_{10}, \delta_{10}, \delta_{40} \Big\rangle_{0,3} = \Big\langle \delta_{10}, \delta_{01}, \delta_{11} \Big\rangle_{0,3} = \Big\langle \delta_{01}, \delta_{01}, \delta_{20} \Big\rangle_{0,3} = 1.$$

$$\Big\langle \delta_{10}, \delta_{20}, \delta_{30} \Big\rangle_{0,3} = \Big\langle \delta_{20}, \delta_{20}, \delta_{20} \Big\rangle_{0,3} = -3.$$

In other words, the Milnor ring extends over $\sigma = \infty$. If we denote the extension by $\mathcal{Q}_{W^\infty}$, then it is not hard to see that δ_{10} and δ_{01} are generators and we have

$$\mathcal{Q}_{W^\infty} := \mathbb{C}[\delta_{10}, \delta_{01}] / \Big(4\delta_{10}^3\delta_{01}, \delta_{10}^4 + 3\delta_{01}^2\Big).$$

Finally, the nonzero 4-point genus-0 basic correlators are

$$\Big\langle \delta_{01}, \delta_{01}, \delta_{01}, \delta_{-1} \Big\rangle_{0,4} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{-1}} \Big\langle \lambda_2^3 (x^{1/12}\Phi_{01} + \frac{3}{4C_0} x^{-1/4}\Phi_{20})^3 \Big\rangle\Big|_{x=\infty} =$$

$$\lambda_2^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{-1}} \left(x^{1/4}\Big\langle \Phi_{03} \Big\rangle + \frac{9}{4C_0} x^{7/12} \Big\langle \Phi_{22} \Big\rangle \right) = -\frac{\lambda_2^2 C_0}{4\lambda_1^4} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{-1}} \Big(\lambda_W \, x^{-1/2} \Big) = -\frac{1}{4}.$$

and

$$\left\langle \delta_{10}, \delta_{10}, \delta_{10}^2\delta_{01}, \delta_{-1} \right\rangle_{0,4} = -\frac{1}{4}.$$

where in order to achieve the above identities we set

$$\lambda_W = \frac{\lambda_1^4\lambda_2}{54}.$$

Recall that ρ_1, ρ_2 are generators of the FJRW ring corresponding to the pair $\left(W' = X_1^4X_2 + X_2^3 + X_3^2, G_{W'}\right)$. Using the reconstruction lemma in FJRW theory (see Lemma 3.4, it is easy to check that the map

$$\left(\rho_1, \rho_2\right) \mapsto \left((-1)^{5/6}\delta_{01}, (-1)^{2/3}\delta_{10}\right).$$

is a mirror symmetry map, i.e., it induces an isomorphism between the FJRW theory of $(W', G_{W'})$ and the Saito-Givental limit of $W = X_1^6 + X_2^3 + X_3^2 + \sigma X_1^4X_2$ at $\sigma = \infty$.

7. Modularity

It is known that Givental's total ancestor potential of a given ISES W is quasi-modular on an appropriate modular group [27]. In this section we would like to show how one can determine the modular group. We will do this for two examples: the Fermat type ISES of type $E_7^{(1,1)}$ and $E_8^{(1,1)}$.

7.1. **Monodromy of the Picard–Fuchs equation.** Let us assume that we are given an ISES and a miniversal deformation. We would like to recall how to compute the monodromy of the corresponding elliptic fibration (10), i.e., the monodromy of the differential equation (13). Note that the j-invariant of E_σ has the form

$$j(\sigma) = \frac{P(\sigma)}{(1 - C\,\sigma^l)^N}, \quad P(\sigma) \in \mathbb{C}[\sigma], \tag{63}$$

where the zeroes of the polynomial in the denominator are precisely the singular points (15) of the Picard–Fuchs equation.

Using the substitution $x = C\sigma^l$ the Picard–Fuchs equation is transformed into the hypergeometric equation (14). Let us fix a reference point on $\mathbb{C} - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ near $x = 1$ and a basis of solutions of the form (71). Denote by M_0^{HG} and M_1^{HG} the monodromy transformations corresponding to paths going around $x = 0$ and $x = 1$. We may choose the paths in such a way that $M_1^{HG} = M_1$ and $M_0^{HG} = (C^{01})^{-1}\, M_0\, C^{01}$, where M_0, M_1, and C^{01} are respectively the local monodromies near $x = 0, 1$ and the matrix giving the analytic continuation from $x = 1$ to $x = 0$ (see Lemma 8.1). Our substitution is a covering $\Sigma - \{0\} \to \mathbb{C} - \{0, 1, \infty\}$ of degree l. Let us denote by M_i^{PF}, $1 \le i \le l$, the monodromy transformations corresponding to loops going around $\sigma = p_i$. Lifting the reference point and choosing the loops appropriately we can arrange that

$$M_1^{PF} = M_1^{HG}, \quad M_i^{PF} = (M_0^{HG})^{-(k-1)}\, M_1^{HG}\, (M_0^{HG})^{k-1}, \quad 2 \le k \le l.$$

It remains only to explain how to find a basis of solutions that correspond to the period integrals. Let us denote such a basis by $F^{GW} = (F_1^{GW}, F_2^{GW})$. We may assume that

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^{GW} &= F_1^{(1)} \\ F_2^{GW} &= -\frac{a}{b} F_1 + \frac{1}{b} F_2^{(1)}, \end{aligned}$$

where a and b are some non-zero numbers.

Lemma 7.1. *The constants a and b are given by*

$$b = 2\pi\sqrt{-1}/N, \quad a = \frac{1}{N} \ln P(p_1),$$

where $p_1 = C^{-1/l} = \prod_i (-l_i/l)^{-l_i/l}$.

Proof. Since

$$\tau := F_2^{GW}/F_1^{GW}$$

is the modulus of the elliptic curve, we must choose a and b in such a way that $j(\sigma) = 1/q + \cdots$, $q = e^{2\pi i\tau}$. Inverting the relation (64) near $\sigma = p_1$, we find:

$$p_1 - \sigma = \frac{e^a}{C\prod_{j=2}^{l}(p_1 - p_j)} e^{b\tau}\Big(1 + O(e^{b\tau})\Big).$$

It follows that

$$j(\sigma) = \frac{P(p_1)}{e^{N(b\tau+a)}} + \cdots$$

The lemma follows. □

Let us point out that the value of a is fixed only up to an integer multiple of $2\pi\sqrt{-1}/N$. This corresponds to the fact that while there is a unique choice of an invariant cycle $A \in H_1(E_\sigma;\mathbb{Z})$ near $\sigma = p_1$, so that up to a constant π_A agrees with F_1^{GW}, for the second cycle $B \in H_1(E_\sigma;\mathbb{Z})$ we have the freedom to add any integer multiple of A.

7.2. **The modular group.** Let us give a precise description of the modular group. Let

$$\rho : \pi_1(\Sigma) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\, H^2(X_{\sigma_0}, \mathbb{C})\,)$$

be the monodromy representation of the Gauss-Manin connection (see Section 2.5). Note that the vanishing cohomology can be decomposed into invariant and non-invariant subspaces with respect to the classical monodromy operator:

$$H^2(X_{\sigma_0}, \mathbb{C}) = H^2(X_{\sigma_0};\mathbb{C})_0 + H^2(X_{\sigma_0};\mathbb{C})_{\neq 0},$$

or equivalently $H^2(X_{\sigma_0};\mathbb{C})_0$ is the kernel of the intersection pairing. The monodromy representation splits respectively into $\rho = \rho_0 + \rho_{\neq 0}$. Let us denote by $\widetilde{\Gamma}(W)$

the monodromy group associated with the representation ρ_0, i.e., using the residue isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Res}:\ H^2(X_{\sigma_0};\mathbb{C})_0 \longrightarrow H^1(E_{\sigma_0};\mathbb{C})$$

$\widetilde{\Gamma}(W)$ can be identified with the monodromy group of the corresponding elliptic fibration.

Note that the numbers

$$e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\deg\phi_i}, \quad -1 \le i \le \mu - 2,$$

form a group that coincides with the multiplicative group $\mu_L = \{\eta \in \mathbb{C} \mid \eta^L = 1\}$ of L-th roots of 1, where $L = 3, 4$, or 6 if W is of type respectively $E_6^{(1,1)}, E_7^{(1,1)}$, and $E_8^{(1,1)}$. Let μ_L act on the vanishing cohomology via $\eta \cdot \phi = \eta^{L\deg\phi}\phi$. Let us denote by

$$\overline{\rho}_{\neq 0} : \pi_1(\Sigma) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\,H^2(X_{\sigma_0};\mathbb{C})_{\neq 0}\,)/\mu_L,$$

the map induced from $\rho_{\neq 0}$. Assume that

$$\mathrm{Ker}\,(\rho_0) \subset \mathrm{Ker}\,(\overline{\rho}_{\neq 0}). \tag{64}$$

In many examples Ker $(\rho_0) = 0$, so this is trivially satisfied. However, it is possible that Ker $(\rho_0) \neq 0$. We expect that (64) is always satisfied, but we still have not checked it in all cases. Assuming (64) we obtain an induced homomorphism

$$\phi_W :\ \widetilde{\Gamma}(W) \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}(\,H^2(X_{\sigma_0};\mathbb{C})_{\neq 0}\,)/\mu_L.$$

The modular group then is $\Gamma(W) := \mathrm{Ker}(\phi_W)$. Our goal is to compute the group $\Gamma(W)$ for the Fermat type ISES.

7.3. **The Fermat $E_7^{(1,1)}$ case.**

The polynomial in this case is

$$W_\sigma(\mathbf{x}) = X_1^4 + X_2^4 + X_3^2 + \sigma\, X_1^2 X_2^2.$$

7.3.1. *Monodromy of the invariant part.* In this case, the parameters of the Picard–Fuchs equation are $\alpha = \beta = 1/4$, $\gamma = 1/2$ and $l = 2$. The j-invariant is

$$j(\sigma) = 1728\,\frac{(\sigma^2+12)^3}{(4-\sigma^2)^2}.$$

The Picard–Fuchs equation has two finite singular points: $p_1 = 2$ and $p_2 = -2$. The monodromy group is generated by the following two matrices:

$$M_1^0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_2^0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1-2m & 2m^2 \\ -2 & 1+2m \end{bmatrix},$$

where the choice of m depends on the coice of a symplectic basis $\{A, B\}$ in $H_1(E_\sigma;\mathbb{Z})$. We choose the basis to be such that $m = 0$; then the monodromy group $\widetilde{\Gamma}(W)$ is the group of all matrices

$$g = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}), \quad a \equiv d \equiv 1 \pmod 4, \quad b \equiv c \equiv 0 \pmod 2.$$

This is an index 2 subgroup of $\Gamma(2)$.

7.3.2. *Monodromy of the twisted sector.* We fix the following basis of the twisted sector in the Milnor ring $\mathscr{Q}_W$:

$$\phi_r(\mathbf{x}) = X_1^{r_1} X_2^{r_2} X_3^{r_3}, \quad r = \{(100), (010), (200), (110), (020), (210), (120)\}.$$

We recall the Picard-Fuchs equation for the corresponding period integrals $\Phi_r(\sigma)$ are as follows:

$$(4-\sigma^2)\partial_\sigma \Phi_r(\sigma) = 2\deg(\phi_r)\, \sigma\, \Phi_r(\sigma), \quad r \neq (200), (020), \tag{65}$$

and

$$\left| \begin{aligned} (4-\sigma^2)\,\partial_\sigma \Phi_{200}(\sigma) &= \frac{\sigma}{2}\, \Phi_{200}(\sigma) - \Phi_{020}(\sigma) \\ (4-\sigma^2)\,\partial_\sigma \Phi_{020}(\sigma) &= \frac{\sigma}{2}\, \Phi_{020}(\sigma) - \Phi_{200}(\sigma) \end{aligned} \right. \tag{66}$$

The monodromy of the equations (65) around $\sigma = p_i$ is straightforward to compute:

$$\Phi_r \mapsto e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\deg(\phi_r)} \Phi_r\,. \quad i = 1, 2.$$

For the system (58), we can first obtain a second order differential equation for Φ_{200}, which after the substitution $x = \sigma^2/4$ becomes the hypergeometric equation with weights $\alpha = 3/4, \beta = 1/4, \gamma = 1/2$. It follows that the system can be solved as follows:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{200} \\ \Phi_{020} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_1^{(1)} & F_2^{(1)} \\ LF_1^{(1)} & LF_2^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix} \tag{67}$$

where A_1 and A_2 are flat sections of the vanishing cohomology bundle and

$$L = -(4-\sigma^2)\partial_\sigma + \sigma/2.$$

Let us denote by $M_i^{\neq 0}$ the monodromy transformation around $\sigma = p_i$,

$$F^{(1)} \mapsto M_i^{\neq 0} F^{(1)}, \quad F^{(1)} = (F_1^{(1)}, F_2^{(1)})^T;$$

then since the LHS of (67) consists of holomorphic sections of the vanishing cohomology bundle, it must be invariant under analytic continuation along any loop in Σ. It follows that the monodromy of the flat sections is

$$\begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto ((M_i^{\neq 0})^T)^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} A_1 \\ A_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

On the other hand, we have (see Section 7.1)

$$M_i^{\neq 0} = (M_0^{HG})^{-(i-1)}\, M_1^{HG}\, (M_0^{HG})^{(i-1)}, \quad i = 1, 2,$$

where M_0^{HG} and M_1^{HG} are the monodromies of the corresponding hypergeometric equation around $x = 0$ and $x = 1$ respectively. Let us denote by

$$D_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(1-\gamma)} \end{bmatrix}, \quad D_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}(\gamma-\alpha-\beta)} \end{bmatrix}$$

the local monodromies of the hypergeometric equation; then $M_1^{HG} = D_1$ and (see Lemma 8.2)

$$M_0^{HG} = (C^{01})^{-1}\, D_0\, C^{01}.$$

A straightforward computation yields

$$M_1^{\neq 0} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_2^{\neq 0} = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The group generated by these two matrices is $\mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$. Let us point out that this agrees with the so called Schwarz list (see [26]), since the exponents of the hypergeometric equation are

$$\lambda_0 = 1 - \gamma = \frac{1}{2}, \quad \lambda_1 = \gamma - \alpha - \beta = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \lambda_\infty = \beta - \alpha = -\frac{1}{2}.$$

Lemma 7.2. *The kernel* Ker $(\rho_0) = 0$ *and the modular group* $\Gamma(W) = \Gamma(4)$.

Proof. Let $\widetilde{\Sigma} \to \Sigma$ be the universal cover of Σ and let $\tau : \widetilde{\Sigma} \to \mathbb{H}$ be the map given by the quotient of two periods: $\tau = \pi_B/\pi_A$. Using the Picard-Fuchs equation we find that

$$d\tau = \frac{\mathrm{Wr}(\pi_A, \pi_B)}{\pi_A^2}\, d\sigma \neq 0.$$

By the implicit function theorem τ is a local homeomorphism. Using the j-invariant we see that the map τ is finite and surjective. Finite maps between analytic varieties are proper. It follows that τ is a covering. Finally, since both $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ and $\mathbb{H}$ are simply connected, τ must be an isomorphism.

The monodromy group $\widetilde{\Gamma}_0(W)$ induces an action on $\mathbb{H}$. If we assume that Ker (ρ_0) is non-trivial; then we can find two different points on $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ such that their τ-images coincide – contradiction.

According to the computations from the previous subsection the map ϕ_W induces a surjective group homomorphism

$$\phi_W : \Gamma_0(W) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}/2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2,$$

which implies that the index of Ker ϕ_W in $\widetilde{\Gamma}_0(W)$ is 4. On the other hand, it is easy to see that $\Gamma(4)$ can be generated by the following (see [30]) 6 elements

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -4 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} -3 & -4 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 4 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 9 & -4 \\ 16 & -7 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 5 & -4 \\ 4 & -3 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 9 & -16 \\ 4 & -7 \end{bmatrix},$$

We leave it to the reader to check that each of these matrices is in the kernel of ϕ_W. For example:

$$\begin{bmatrix} -3 & -4 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = \Big((M_2^0)^{-1} M_1^0 \Big)^2 .$$

Under ϕ_W the matrix M_i^0 is mapped to $M_i^{\neq 0}$. Using the explicit computations from the previous section we get that the above matrix is in the kernel of ϕ_W.

We conclude that

$$\Gamma(4) \subseteq \operatorname{Ker} \phi_W \subset \widetilde{\Gamma}_0(W) \subset \Gamma(2) \subset \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}).$$

Recall that the indices of $\Gamma(2)$ and $\Gamma(4)$ in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ are respectively 6 and 48 (see [29]). It follows that the index of Ker (ϕ_W) in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is $4 \times 2 \times 6 = 48$. The Lemma follows. □

7.4. **The Fermat $E_8^{(1,1)}$ case.** The polynomial and its marginal deformation are

$$W_\sigma = X_1^6 + X_2^3 + X_3^2 + \sigma X_1^4 X_2, \quad 4\sigma^3 + 27 \neq 0.$$

7.4.1. *Monodromy of the invariant part.* The parameters of the Picard–Fuchs equation are $\alpha = \frac{1}{12}, \beta = \frac{7}{12}, \gamma = \frac{2}{3}$ and $l = \frac{1}{3}$. The j-invariant is

$$j(\sigma) = 1728 \, \frac{4\sigma^3}{4\sigma^3 + 27} .$$

The singular points are $p_i = -3 \cdot 4^{-1/3} e^{2\pi \sqrt{-1}(i-1)}$, $1 \le i \le 3$ and the corresponding monodromy transformations are

$$M_1^0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_2^0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1-(1+m) & (1+m)^2 \\ -1 & 1+(1+m) \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_3^0 = \begin{bmatrix} 1-m & m^2 \\ -1 & 1+m \end{bmatrix},$$

where the integer m depends on the choice of a symplectic basis in $H_1(E_{\sigma_0}; \mathbb{Z})$. We choose a basis such that $m = 0$. The above matrices generate the entire modular group, because it is well known that the matrices in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$

$$S := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = M_1^0, \quad T := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = M_3^0 M_1^0 M_3^0 = M_1^0 M_3^0 M_1^0$$

are generators of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. It is known that $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ has a presentation in terms of the free group on two generators a and b satisfying the relations

$$aba = bab, \quad (aba)^4 = 1.$$

It follows that $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\rho_0)$ is the normal subgroup of the free group on 3 generators M_1, M_2, and M_3 generated by the relations

$$M_1 M_3 M_1 = M_3 M_1 M_3, \quad (M_1 M_3 M_1)^4 = 1, \quad M_1 M_3 = M_2 M_1. \tag{68}$$

7.4.2. *Monodromy of the twisted sector.* We fix a basis of monomials $\phi_r(\mathbf{x}) = X_1^{r_1} X_2^{r_2} X_3^{r_3}$ in the twisted sector of $\mathcal{Q}_W$, where $r = (r_1, r_2, r_3)$ is given by

$$r = \{(1,0,0), (2,0,0), (0,1,0), (1,1,0), (3,0,0), (2,1,0), (4,0,0), (5,0,0)\}.$$

The Picard-Fuchs equations for $\Phi_r(\sigma)$, $r = (1,0,0), (5,0,0)$ have order 1

$$(27 + 4\sigma^3)\partial_\sigma \Phi_r = 12\sigma^2 \deg(\phi_r)\, .\Phi_r,$$

The monodromy of these equations is straightforward to compute:

$$\Phi_r \mapsto e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}\deg(\phi_r)}\, \Phi_r$$

The remaining periods satisfy three systems of differential equations. Let us describe them and their monodromies. In all three cases we use the substitution $x = -4\sigma^3/27$ to reduce the system to a hypergeometric equation and then the monodromy is computed in the same way as before. We recall the first system is

$$\left| \begin{array}{l} (27 + 4\sigma^3)\partial_\sigma \Phi_{200} = -3\sigma^2 \Phi_{200} - (9/2)\Phi_{010} \\ (27 + 4\sigma^3)\partial_\sigma \Phi_{010} = -\sigma^2 \Phi_{010} + (9\sigma/2)\Phi_{200} \end{array} \right.$$

The period Φ_{200} satisfies the hypergeometric equation with weights $\alpha = 1/4$, $\beta = 3/4$, and $\gamma = 2/3$. The solutions to the system have the following form:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi_{200} \\ \Phi_{010} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} F_1^{(1)} & F_2^{(1)} \\ LF_1^{(1)} & LF_2^{(1)} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} A_{200} \\ A_{010} \end{bmatrix} \tag{69}$$

where A_{200} and A_{010} are flat sections of the vanishing cohomology bundle and L is a first order differential operator, whose explicit form is not important. The same argument as before gives that if $M_i^{1/3}$ is the monodromy around $\sigma = p_i$,

$$F^{(1)} \mapsto M_i^{1/3}\, F^{(1)},$$

then the monodromy of the flat sections is given by the matrix $((M_i^{1/30})^T)^{-1}$. After a straightforward computation we get

$$M_1^{1/3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}/3} \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_2^{1/3} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{4i}{\sqrt{3}} \\ -\frac{1}{4} + \frac{i}{4\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}} \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_3^{1/3} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} & -2 + \frac{2i}{\sqrt{3}} \\ -\frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{2} - \frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}} \end{bmatrix}$$

Using computer software one can check that the group generated by these matrices is finite of order 24. Alternatively, since the exponents of the hypergeometric equation are

$$\lambda_0 = 1-\gamma = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \lambda_1 = \gamma-\alpha-\beta = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \lambda_\infty = \frac{1}{2},$$

we find that the hypergeometric equation is in the Schwarz list and that its monodromy group is known to be isomorphic to $A_4 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$. In particular, it has order 24.

The next system is

$$\left|\begin{aligned} (27+4\sigma^3)\partial_\sigma \Phi_{300} &= -4\sigma^2 \Phi_{300} - 9\Phi_{110} \\ (27+4\sigma^3)\partial_\sigma \Phi_{110} &= -2\sigma^2 \Phi_{110} + 6\sigma\Phi_{300} \end{aligned}\right.$$

The corresponding hypergeometric equations for Φ_{300} has parameters $\alpha = 1/3$, $\beta = 5/6$, and $\gamma = 2/3$. The monodromy groups are

$$M_1^{1/2} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-2\pi\sqrt{-1}/2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_2^{1/2} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & -\frac{3\sqrt{3}i}{2} \\ \frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_3^{1/2} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{1}{2} & \frac{3\sqrt{3}i}{2} \\ -\frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}} & \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is easy to check that these matrices generate a group with 6 elements. Again, we can obtain this from the general theory, since the exponents are

$$\lambda_0 = 1-\gamma = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \lambda_1 = \gamma-\alpha-\beta = -\frac{1}{2}, \quad \lambda_\infty = \frac{1}{2}$$

and the hypergeometric equation is again in the Schwarz list. The monodromy group is known to be the dihedral group D_6.

Finally, we have

$$\left|\begin{aligned} (27+4\sigma^3)\partial_\sigma \Phi_{400} &= -5\sigma^2 \Phi_{400} - (27/2)\Phi_{210} \\ (27+4\sigma^3)\partial_\sigma \Phi_{210} &= -3\sigma^2 \Phi_{210} + (15\sigma/2)\Phi_{400} \end{aligned}\right.$$

The period Φ_{400} satisfies hypergeometric equation with $\alpha = 5/12$, $\beta = 11/12$, and $\gamma = 2/3$. The monodromy groups is generated by

$$M_1^{2/3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-4\pi\sqrt{-1}/3} \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_2^{2/3} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} & -\frac{16i}{3\sqrt{3}} \\ \frac{3}{16}+\frac{\sqrt{3}i}{16} & \frac{1}{2}+\frac{\sqrt{3}i}{6} \end{bmatrix}, \quad M_3^{2/3} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{i}{\sqrt{3}} & \frac{8}{3}+\frac{8\sqrt{3}i}{9} \\ -\frac{\sqrt{3}i}{8} & \frac{1}{2}+\frac{i}{2\sqrt{3}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Again the matrices generate a group of order 24, which can also be proved by the general theory, since the exponents are

$$\lambda_0 = 1-\gamma = \frac{1}{3}, \quad \lambda_1 = \gamma-\alpha-\beta = -\frac{2}{3}, \quad \lambda_\infty = \frac{1}{2}$$

In this case the monodromy group is isomorphic to $A_4 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$. In fact, the two representations of $A_4 \times \mathbb{Z}/2$ on the vector spaces

$$\mathbb{C}^2 = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{A_{200}, A_{010}\}, \quad \mathbb{C}^2 = \mathrm{span}_{\mathbb{C}}\{A_{400}, A_{210}\}$$

are isomorphic, therefore there should be an intertwining operator S. Indeed, one can check that

$$M_3^{2/3} = S\, M_2^{1/3} S^{-1}, \quad M_2^{2/3} = S\, M_3^{1/3} S^{-1}, \quad S = \begin{bmatrix} -4/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{70}$$

Lemma 7.3. *The condition* (64) *is satisfied and* $\Gamma(W) = \Gamma(6)$.

Proof. For the first part of the Lemma, it is enough to check that the matrices M_i^q, $1 \le i \le 3$, $q = 1/3, 1/2$ satisfy the relations (68). Note that due to (70) $M_i^{2/3}$ also satisfy the relations. This is a straightforward computation, which we omit.

The homomorphism ϕ_W decomposes naturally into $(\phi_W^{1/3}, \phi_W^{1/2}, \phi_W^{2/3})$, where

$$\phi_W^q : \widetilde{\Gamma}(W) \to \mathrm{GL}(\, H^2(X_{\sigma_0}; \mathbb{C})_q\,)/\mu_6, \quad q = 1/3, 1/2, 2/3.$$

Here the decomposition of the vanishing cohomology is with respect to the grading induced by the Milnor ring. We saw above that $\mathrm{Ker}(\phi_W^{1/3}) = \mathrm{Ker}(\phi_W^{2/3})$. We claim that the latter is $\Gamma(3)$. It is easy to find that $\Gamma(3)$ is generated by the following matrices:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -3 & 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad \begin{bmatrix} -2 & 3 \\ -3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We leave it to the reader to check that they belong to the kernel of $\phi_W^{1/3}$. Our claim follows, because the index of $\Gamma(3)$ in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is 24 (see [29]). Similarly one can verify that $\mathrm{Ker}\,(\phi_W^{1/2}) = \Gamma(2)$. It remains only to use that

$$\Gamma(W) = \mathrm{Ker}\,(\phi_W) \subseteq \mathrm{Ker}\,(\phi_W^{2/3}) \cap \mathrm{Ker}\,(\phi_W^{1/2}) = \Gamma(3) \cap \Gamma(2) = \Gamma(6)$$

and that the index of $\Gamma(6)$ in $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$ is $24 \times 6 = 144$. □

8. Appendix

8.1. **Monodromy of the hypergeometric equations.** For the reader's convenience let us explain how one can compute the monodromy group of a hypergeometric equation of the form (14). Let us assume that α, β, and γ are positive rational numbers. There are two cases which are used in our work.

8.1.1. *The resonance case.* We assume that $\gamma = \alpha+\beta = 1-1/l$, where l is a positive integer. Put

$$A = 2\psi(1) - \psi(\alpha) - \psi(\beta), \quad B = 2\psi(1) - \psi(1-\alpha) - \psi(1-\beta),$$

where $\psi(z) = \Gamma'(z)/\Gamma(z)$ is the digamma function.

Near $x = 0$ the hypergeometric equation admits the following basis of solutions:

$$F_1^{(0)}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)}{\Gamma(\alpha+\beta)}\, {}_2F_1(\alpha,\beta;\gamma;x),$$
$$F_2^{(0)}(x) = \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma(1-\beta)}{\Gamma(2-\alpha-\beta)}\, {}_2F_1(1-\alpha,1-\beta;2-\gamma;x)\, x^{1-\alpha-\beta}.$$

Let us denote by $F^{(0)}$ the vector column with entries $F_1^{(0)}$ and $F_2^{(0)}$; then the local monodromy around $x = 0$ acts as

$$F^{(0)} \mapsto M_0\, F^{(0)}, \quad M_0 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi i(1-\alpha-\beta)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Near $x = 1$ a basis of solutions is given by

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^{(1)}(x) &= {}_2F_1(\alpha,\beta;1;1-x), \\ F_2^{(1)}(x) &= {}_2F_1(\alpha,\beta;1;1-x)\, \ln(1-x) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n(1-x)^n, \end{aligned} \tag{71}$$

where

$$b_n = \frac{(\alpha)_n(\beta)_n}{(n!)^2}\Big(\frac{1}{\alpha} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\alpha+n-1} + \frac{1}{\beta} + \cdots + \frac{1}{\beta+n-1} - 2\Big(\frac{1}{1} + \cdots + \frac{1}{n}\Big)\Big).$$

It follows that the local monodromy is given by

$$F^{(1)} \mapsto M_1\, F^{(1)}, \quad M_1 := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2\pi i \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The key to the monodromy computation is the following lemma (see [1]).

Lemma 8.1. *The series $F^{(0)}$ and $F^{(1)}$ are convergent in the region $\{x \in \mathbb{C} \ :\ |x| < 1, |1-x| < 1\}$ and the following formula holds:*

$$F^{(0)}(x) = C^{01}\, F^{(1)}(x), \quad C^{01} := \begin{bmatrix} A & -1 \\ B & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

provided the branch of $F^{(1)}(x)$ near $x = 1$ is chosen appropriately.

The local monodromies M_0, M_1, and the connection matrix C^{01} completely determine the monodromy representation. Let us also list a basis of solutions near $x = \infty$. If $\alpha - \beta \notin \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^{(\infty)} &= x^{-\alpha}\ {}_2F_1\left(\alpha, \alpha-\gamma+1; \alpha-\beta+1; x^{-1}\right), \\ F_1^{(\infty)} &= x^{-\beta}\ {}_2F_1\left(\beta, \beta-\gamma+1; \beta-\alpha+1; x^{-1}\right). \end{aligned}$$

If $\alpha = \beta$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^{(\infty)} &= x^{-\alpha}\ {}_2F_1\left(\alpha, \alpha-\gamma+1; 1; x^{-1}\right), \\ F_2^{(\infty)} &= G^{2,2}_{2,2}\left(x^{-1} \,\middle|\, \begin{matrix} \gamma, 1 \\ \alpha, \beta \end{matrix} \right), \end{aligned}$$

where $G^{2,2}_{2,2}$ is Meijer's G-function.

8.1.2. *The non-resonance case.* Now we assume that none of the exponents

$$\lambda_0 = 1-\gamma, \quad \lambda_1 = \gamma-\alpha-\beta, \quad \lambda_\infty = \beta-\alpha$$

is an integer. Then we fix the following solutions. Near $x = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^{(0)} &= {}_2F_1\left(\alpha, \beta; \gamma; \lambda\right), \\ F_2^{(0)} &= {}_2F_1\left(\alpha-\gamma+1, \beta-\gamma+1; 2-\gamma; \right)\ x^{1-\gamma}\ . \end{aligned}$$

Near $x = 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^{(1)} &= {}_2F_1\left(\alpha, \beta; \alpha+\beta-\gamma+1; 1-x\right), \\ F_2^{(1)} &= {}_2F_1\left(\gamma-\alpha, \gamma-\beta; \gamma-\alpha-\beta+1; 1-x\right)\ (1-x)^{\gamma-\alpha-\beta}. \end{aligned} \tag{72}$$

Near $x = \infty$:

$$\begin{aligned} F_1^{(\infty)} &= {}_2F_1\left(\alpha, \alpha-\gamma+1; \alpha-\beta+1; x^{-1}\right)\ x^{-\alpha}, \\ F_2^{(\infty)} &= {}_2F_1\left(\beta, \beta-\gamma+1; \beta-\alpha+1; x^{-1}\right)\ x^{-\beta}. \end{aligned} \tag{73}$$

Let us denote by $F^{(a)} (a = 0, 1, \infty)$ the corresponding vector columns. Then the key fact is the following. Just like in the previous case, there is a common contractible domain where both $F^{(0)}$ and $F^{(1)}$ are convergent and hence one can define a connection matrix C^{01}, such that $F^{(0)} = C^{01} F^{(1)}$.

Lemma 8.2. *The connection matrices are given by the following formulas:*

$$C^{01} = \begin{bmatrix} \dfrac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha)\Gamma(\gamma-\beta)} & \dfrac{\Gamma(\gamma)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta-\gamma)}{\Gamma(\alpha)\Gamma(\beta)} \\ \dfrac{\Gamma(2-\gamma)\Gamma(\gamma-\alpha-\beta)}{\Gamma(1-\alpha)\Gamma(1-\beta)} & \dfrac{\Gamma(2-\gamma)\Gamma(\alpha+\beta-\gamma)}{\Gamma(1+\alpha-\gamma)\Gamma(1+\beta-\gamma)} \end{bmatrix}.$$

8.2. **Invariants for $E_7^{(1,1)}$ and $E_8^{(1,1)}$.** Here we list some invariants for $E_7^{(1,1)}$ and $E_8^{(1,1)}$.

TABLE 7. $E_7^{(1,1)}$

W	m_1, m_2, m_3	l_1, l_2, l_3, l	q_1^T, q_2^T, q_3^T	α, β, γ
$X_1^4 + X_2^4 + X_3^2$	$(2, 2, 0)$	$1, 1, 0, 2$	$\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}$
$X_1^3X_2 + X_2^4 + X_3^2$	$(4, 0, 0)$	$4, -1, 0, 3$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{12}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{2}{3}$
$X_1^3X_2 + X_2^4 + X_3^2$	$(1, 3, 0)$	$1, 2, 0, 3$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}$	$\frac{1}{12}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{2}{3}$
$X_1^2X_2 + X_2^2 + X_3^4$	$(2, 0, 2)$	$2, -1, 1, 2$	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}$
$X_1^2X_2 + X_2^2 + X_3^4$	$(0, 1, 2)$	$0, 1, 1, 2$	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}$
$X_1^3X_2 + X_1X_2^3 + X_3^2$	$(4, 0, 0)$	$3, -1, 0, 2$	$\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{12}, \frac{5}{12}, \frac{1}{2}$
$X_1^3X_2 + X_1X_2^3 + X_3^2$	$(2, 2, 0)$	$1, 1, 0, 2$	$\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}$
$X_1^3X_2 + X_2^2X_3 + X_3^2$	$(1, 1, 1)$	$1, 1, 1, 3$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$
$X_1^3X_2 + X_2^2X_3 + X_3^2$	$(1, 3, 0)$	$1, 4, -2, 3$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{12}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{2}{3}$
$X_1^3X_2 + X_2^2X_3 + X_3^2$	$(4, 0, 0)$	$4, -2, 1, 3$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{12}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{2}{3}$

TABLE 8. $E_8^{(1,1)}$

W	m_1, m_2, m_3	l_1, l_2, l_3, l	q_1^T, q_2^T, q_3^T	α, β, γ
$X_1^6 + X_2^3 + X_3^2$	$(4, 0, 1)$	$1, 2, 0, 3$	$\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{12}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{2}{3}$
$X_1^3X_2 + X_2^2 + X_3^3$	$(1, 1, 1)$	$1, 1, 1, 3$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$
$X_1^3X_2 + X_2^2 + X_3^3$	$(4, 0, 1)$	$4, -2, 1, 3$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{12}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{2}{3}$
$X_1^4X_2 + X_2^3 + X_3^2$	$(2, 2, 0)$	$1, 1, 0, 2$	$\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}$
$X_1^4X_2 + X_2^3 + X_3^2$	$(6, 0, 0)$	$3, -1, 0, 2$	$\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{12}, \frac{5}{12}, \frac{1}{2}$

8.3. **Weight systems of the hypergeometric equations for the $E_8^{(1,1)}$ periods.** Let

$$\phi_{\mathbf{r}}(X_1, X_2, X_3) = X_1^{r_1} X_2^{r_2} X_3^{r_3}.$$

Let $(\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}, \beta_{\mathbf{r}}, \gamma_{\mathbf{r}})$ be its weights of the hypergeometric equation of period $\Phi_{\mathbf{r}}$, after the coordinate change $x = C\sigma^l$. We choose monomials $\phi_{\mathbf{r}}$ such that

- Combined with degree 0 and degree 1 monomials, they form a basis of the Milnor ring.
- $(\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}, \beta_{\mathbf{r}}, \gamma_{\mathbf{r}})$ are nonnegative.

(Notice if $\alpha_{\mathbf{r}} = \gamma_{\mathbf{r}}$ or $\beta_{\mathbf{r}} = \gamma_{\mathbf{r}}$, then the second order hypergeometric equation can be reduced to a first order equation. This always happens for the first and last term in each table and sometimes also for other terms in the middle.)

8.3.1. $E_8^{(1,1)}$. Then for all $W \in E_8^{(1,1)}$, we have the following formula:

(1) $W = X_1^3X_2 + X_2^2 + X_3^3$, $\phi_{-1} = X_1X_2X_3$, then for $r_3 = 0, 1$.

$$(\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}, \beta_{\mathbf{r}}, \gamma_{\mathbf{r}}) = \left(\frac{1+r_1}{3}, \frac{2-r_1+3r_2}{6}, \frac{2-r_3}{3}\right).$$

We choose $r_1 < 3$ for the basis because $2X_2 = -X_1^3$ in the Milnor ring.

$\phi_{\mathbf{r}} =$	X_1	X_3	X_1^2	X_1X_3	X_2	$X_1^2X_3$	X_1X_2	X_2X_3
$\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}, \beta_{\mathbf{r}}, \gamma_{\mathbf{r}}$	$\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$	$1, 0, \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{2}{3}$	$1, 0, \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{3}$

(2) $W = X_1^3X_2 + X_2^2 + X_3^3$, $\phi_{-1} = X_1^4X_3$, then for $r_3 = 0, 1$,

$$(\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}, \beta_{\mathbf{r}}, \gamma_{\mathbf{r}}) = \left(\frac{1+r_1+3r_2}{12}, \frac{7+r_1+3r_2}{12}, \frac{2-r_3}{3}\right).$$

$\phi_{\mathbf{r}} =$	X_1	X_3	X_1^2	X_1X_3	X_2	$X_1^2X_3$	X_1X_2	X_2X_3
$\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}, \beta_{\mathbf{r}}, \gamma_{\mathbf{r}}$	$\frac{1}{6}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{12}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{5}{12}, \frac{11}{12}, \frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{3}$

(3) $W = X_1^4X_2 + X_2^3 + X_3^2$, $\phi_{-1} = X_1^2X_2^2$, then $r_3 = 0$,

$$(\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}, \beta_{\mathbf{r}}, \gamma_{\mathbf{r}}) = \left(\frac{1+r_1}{4}, \frac{3-r_1+4r_2}{12}, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

$\phi_{\mathbf{r}} =$	X_1	X_2	X_1^2	X_1X_2	X_1^3	$X_1^2X_2$	X_2^2	$X_1X_2^2$
$\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}, \beta_{\mathbf{r}}, \gamma_{\mathbf{r}}$	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{12}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{12}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$1, 0, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{3}{4}, \frac{5}{12}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}, \frac{11}{12}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$

(4) $W = X_1^4X_2 + X_2^3 + X_3^2$, $\phi_{-1} = X_1^6$, then $r_3 = 0$,

$$(\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}, \beta_{\mathbf{r}}, \gamma_{\mathbf{r}}) = \left(\frac{1+r_1}{12}, \frac{5+r_1+4r_2}{12}, \frac{1}{2}\right).$$

$\phi_{\mathbf{r}} =$	X_1	X_2	X_1^2	X_1X_2	X_1^3	$X_1^2X_2$	X_1^4	X_1^5
$\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}, \beta_{\mathbf{r}}, \gamma_{\mathbf{r}}$	$\frac{1}{6}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{12}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{6}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{4}, \frac{11}{12}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{5}{12}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{2}$	$\frac{1}{2}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{2}$

(5) $W = X_1^6 + X_2^3 + X_3^2$, $\phi_{-1} = X_1^4X_2$, then $r_3 = 0$,

$$(\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}, \beta_{\mathbf{r}}, \gamma_{\mathbf{r}}) = \left(\frac{1+r_1}{12}, \frac{7+r_1}{12}, \frac{2-r_2}{3}\right).$$

$\phi_{\mathbf{r}} =$	X_1	X_2	X_1^2	X_1X_2	X_1^3	$X_1^2X_2$	X_1^4	$X_1^3X_2$
$\alpha_{\mathbf{r}}, \beta_{\mathbf{r}}, \gamma_{\mathbf{r}}$	$\frac{1}{6}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{12}, \frac{7}{12}, \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{6}, \frac{2}{3}, \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{4}, \frac{3}{4}, \frac{1}{3}$	$\frac{5}{12}, \frac{11}{12}, \frac{2}{3}$	$\frac{1}{3}, \frac{5}{6}, \frac{1}{3}$

References

[1] Abramowitz, M.; Stegun, I. A.: *Handbook of mathematical functions.* Washington D.C.: U.S. Government Prinitng Office, 1972

[2] Acosta, Pedro: *FJRW-rings and Landau-Ginzburg mirror symmetry in two dimensions.* arXiv:0906.0970

[3] Arnold, V.; Gusein-Zade, S.; Varchenko, A.: *Singularities of Differentiable maps.* Vol. II. Monodromy and Asymptotics of Integrals. Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston, 1988. viii+492 pp

[4] Bershadsky, M.; Cecotti, S.; Ooguri, H.; Vafa, C.: *Kodaira-Spencer theory of gravity and exact results for quantum string amplitudes.* Comm. Math. Phys. 165 (1994), no. 2, 311-427

[5] Berglund, Per; Hübsch, Tristan: *A generalized construction of mirror manifolds.* Nuclear Phys. B 393 (1993), no. 1-2, 377-391.

[6] Candelas, Philip; de la Ossa, Xenia C.; Green, Paul S.; Parkes, Linda: *A pair of Calabi-Yau manifolds as an exactly soluble superconformal theory.* Nuclear Phys. B 359 (1991), no. 1, 21-74.

[7] Chen, Weimin; Ruan, Yongbin: *Orbifold Gromov-Witten theory.* Orbifolds in mathematics and physics. Contemp. Math., 310, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI(2002): 25–85.

[8] Chiodo, Alessandro: *Towards an enumerative geometry of the moduli space of twisted curves and r-th roots.* Compos. Math. 144 (2008), no. 6, 1461-1496.

[9] Chiodo, Alessandro; Ruan, Yongbin: *A global mirror symmetry framework for the Landau-Ginzburg/Calabi-Yau correspondence.* Preprint.

[10] Chiodo, Alessandro; Ruan, Yongbin: *Landau-Ginzburg/Calabi-Yau correspondence for quintic three-folds via symplectic transformations.* Invent. Math. 182 (2010), no. 1, 117-165.

[11] Doran, Charles F.; Morgan, John W.: *Mirror symmetry and integral variations of Hodge structure underlying one-parameter families of Calabi-Yau threefolds.* Mirror symmetry. V, 517537, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math., 38, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.

[12] Dubrovin, Boris: *Geometry of 2d Topological Field Theories.* Integrable Systems and Quantum Groups. Lecture Notes in Math. 1620: Springer, Berlin(1996): 120-348

[13] Fan, Huijun; Jarvis, Tyler J.; Ruan, Yongbin: *The Witten equation, mirror symmetry and quantum singularity theory.* To appear in Annals of Mathematics.

[14] Fan, Huijun; Jarvis, Tyler J.; Ruan, Yongbin: *The Witten Equation and Its Virtual Fundamental Cycle.* Book in preparation. Preprint arXiv:0712.4025v3.

[15] Fan, Huijun; Shen, Yefeng: *Quantum ring of singularity* $X^p + XY^q$. arXiv:0902.2327

[16] Gährs, Swantje. *Picard-Fuchs equations of special one-parameter families of invertible polynomials.* PhD Thesis. arXiv:1109.3462

[17] Givental, Alexander B.: *Equivariant Gromov-Witten invariants.* Internat. Math. Res. Notices 1996, no. 13, 613-663.

[18] Givental, Alexander B.: *Semisimple Frobenius structures at higher genus.* Internat. Math. Res. Notices 2001, no. 23, 1265-1286.

[19] Givental, Alexander B.: A_{n-1} *singularities and nKdV Hierarchies.* Mosc. Math. J. 3.2(2003): 475–505

[20] Hertling, Claus: *Frobenius Manifolds and Moduli Spaces for Singularities.* Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 151. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. x+270 pp.

[21] Huang, M.-x.; Klemm, A.; Quackenbush, S.: *Topological string theory on compact Calabi-Yau: modularity and boundary conditions.* Homological mirror symmetry, 45-102, Lecture Notes in Phys., 757, Springer, Berlin, 2009.

[22] Klein, Felix: *Vorlesungen über die Hypergeometrische Funktionen*. Springer, 1933

[23] Krawitz, Marc: *FJRW rings and Landau-Ginzburg Mirror Symmetry.* arXiv:0906.0796v1.

[24] Lian, Bong H.; Liu, Kefeng; Yau, Shing-Tung: *Mirror principle. I.* Asian J. Math. 1 (1997), no. 4, 729-763.

[25] Krawitz, Marc; Shen, Yefeng: *Landau-Ginzburg/Calabi-Yau Correspondence of all Genera for Elliptic Orbifold* $\mathbb{P}^1$. arXiv:1106.6270

[26] Matsuda, Michihiko: *Lectures on algebraic solutions of hypergeometric differential equations.* Lectures in Mathematics **15**, Tokyo (1985)

[27] Milanov, Todor; Ruan, Yongbin: *Gromov-Witten theory of elliptic orbifold* $\mathbb{P}^1$ *and quasi-modular forms.* Preprint arXiv:1106.2321v1.

[28] Milanov, Todor; Ruan, Yongbin; Shen, Yefeng: *Gromov–Witten theory and cycled-valued modular forms.* Preprint arXiv:1206.3879v1.

[29] Miyake, Toshitsune: *Modular forms.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1989. x+335 pp

[30] Mumford, David: *Tata lectures on Theta.* Birkhüser Basel, 1990. XVI+236 p.

[31] Ruan, Yongbin: *The Witten equation and the geometry of the Landau-Ginzburg model.* In preparation.

[32] Saito, Kyoji: *On Periods of Primitive Integrals, I.* Preprint RIMS(1982)

[33] Saito, Kyoji: *Einfach-elliptische Singularitäten*. Invent. Math. 23(1974): 289–325

[34] Saito, Kyoji; Takahashi, Atsushi: *From primitive forms to Frobenius manifolds.* From Hodge theory to integrability and TQFT tt*-geometry, 31-48, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., 78, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2008.

[35] Schwarz, H.A.: *Ueber diejenigen Fälle in welchen die Gaussichen hypergeometrische Reihe eine algebraische Function ihres vierten Elementes darstellt*. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik **75**(1873): 292335

[36] Teleman, Constatin: *The structure of 2D semisimple field theories.* Invent. Math. Volume 188, Number 3 (2012), 525-588.

Kavli IPMU (WPI), The University of Tokyo, Kashiwa, Chiba 277-8583, Japan
E-mail address: `todor.milanov@ipmu.jp`

Department of Mathematics, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48105, USA
E-mail address: `yfschen@umich.edu`